



(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

⊕ www.ijirset.com 🖂 ijirset@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404693|

Humanoid robots and human - Robot Interaction

Dhananjay Shinde, Abhijit Gawali

Final Year Student, Department of Mechatronics, Sanjivani K.B.P. Polytechnic, Kopargaon, Maharashtra, India

Project Guide, Lecturer, Department of Mechatronics, Sanjivani K.B.P. Polytechnic, Kopargaon, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT: Humanoid robots, which mimic and simulate human shape and action, are gaining prominence as an important field in robotics. Advanced sensors, actuators, and artificial intelligence are being used in these robots so that they can interact with people naturally in the dynamic world. Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) deals with the designing, testing, and understanding of these interactions in order to make them effective, safe, and intuitive. Humanoid robots are being more and more applied to industries like healthcare, education, customer service, and home assistance, where they need to interact closely with humans. Major challenges in HRI are designing robots that can sense and react to human emotions, communicate verbally and nonverbally, and accommodate social and cultural conventions. This abstract examines the existing situation in humanoid robotics, the technologies to facilitate human-like interaction, and the societal and ethical implications of their increasing presence. The work seeks to outline ways in which enhanced human-robot interaction can improve acceptance, trust, and cooperation in real-world contexts.

KEYWORDS: parallel mechanism; parallel robot; reconfigurable robot; adaptive robotic hand/gripper; compliant mechanism

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, humanoid robot development has made great strides, spurred on by accelerated advancements in artificial intelligence, robotics, and sensors. Humanoid robots are robotics intended to resemble the human body both in shape and function, so they can do tasks in human-made environments. Their similarity to humans is what makes them well-suited for use in cases where natural interaction with humans is paramount, such as in medical care, education, customer service, and personal care.

A very important part of successfully deploying humanoid robots is Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) - an interdisciplinary field of research that investigates how humans and robots interact, collaborate, and coexist. Successful HRI not just implies physical interaction but also emotional, social, and cognitive bonding. In order for humanoid robots to gain acceptance and be trusted by users, they should have the ability to comprehend human signals, respond accordingly, and accommodate personal and cultural differences.

This opening discusses the increasing presence of humanoid robots in contemporary society, the technologies that make human-like interaction possible, and the issues that need to be overcome to enhance acceptance and usability. As robots increasingly become a part of everyday life, human-robot interaction needs to be understood and optimized to realize their full potential.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

The field of humanoid robots and human-robot interaction (HRI) has received great interest in recent years, with different studies focusing on the design, functionality, and social acceptability of humanoid robots. Scientists have been interested in knowing how humanoid robots can mimic human actions and interact suitably with humans in different environments.

Breazeal (2003) also suggested the concept of socially intelligent robots, and she emphasized the importance of social and emotional signals in HRI. Her work with the robot Kismet demonstrated how facial expressions, gaze, and tone of voice can be significant in determining how humans perceive and respond to robots. This laid the groundwork for more advanced models of interaction.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404693|

Fong et al. (2003) provided a survey of social interactive robots, encompassing the most salient features such as multimodal communication, user modeling, and learning. They emphasized personification of robot behavior and individual users, which has been a leading research trend.

Dautenhahn (2007) looked at socially assistive robots and their role in healthcare and elderly care. She ex plored the role of trust, empathy, and accommodation in human -robot interaction. Robots like Paro, a robot seal used as a therapy robot, showed how non-humanoid robots can offer emotional interaction and psychological comfort.

Current research has focused on natural language processing (NLP) and hand gesture recognition to improve human-robot interaction. For instance, the development of robots such as Pepper and NAO by SoftBank Robotics has showcased how humanoid robots can be utilized in schools and comp anies to attend to users through verbal communication, facial expressions, and body gestures. Besides, scholars such as Goodrich and Schultz (2008) have offered frameworks for assessing HRI, with an emphasis on metrics such as efficiency, effectiveness, and user satisfaction. These frameworks are essential in establishing the extent to which robots function well in real -world environments and in informing future design improvements.

Overall, the literature explains a common trend towards the creation of more socially aware and emotionally intelligent robots. However, the challenges remain in real -time perception, ethics, cultural sensitivity, and continuous user interaction.

III. METHODOLOGY

In order to explore the human-humanoid robot interaction, a systematic approach was taken involving literature review, system design, interaction design, and user testing. The research began with a comprehensive review of existing literature, including academic papers, journals, and field case studies, to identify the existing trends in humanoid robotics and HRI. This provided a platform for setting up the most significant features and concerns in creating effective interaction models.

A commercially available humanoid robot like NAO or Pepper was used in the experiment because it is equipped with sensors, voice recognition, and the ability to accommodate gesture input. The robot was coded from its official SDK and internal Python scripts so that it can conduct voice-based communication, human gesture recognition, and visual feedback through facial expressions or LED lights.

A set of scenarios was then constructed based on real-life situations to mimic everyday usage, like welcoming users, providing answers to straightforward questions, and providing advice. The interactions were made to investigate the extent to which and the manner in which the robot would speak and converse with people in a natural way. The testing involved a sequence of user trials in a lab environment where the users engaged with the robot. Ease of use, comfort, and the capability of the robot to recognize and respond to human inputs were recorded in observations.

Following the sessions, participants were requested to fill out questionnaires and be interviewed briefly to capture qualitative feedback. The qualitative feedback, together with quantitative measures like response times and interaction success rates, was analyzed with simple statistical tools. The results assisted in assessing the effectiveness of the interaction design of the robot and shed light on how human-robot communication could be optimized for future applications.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A Experimental human-robot interaction trial with a humanoid robot such as NAO or Pepper was conducted in a controlled indoor setting with a total of 20 users from various age groups and backgrounds. The aim was to evaluate the robot's ability to communicate with the users in a natural manner through speech and gesture recognition and to evaluate the users' comfort level and engagement level in the interaction.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404693|

The robot was intended to perform simple operations such as greeting users, responding to simple queries, hand gesture recognition, and vocal commands. During the study, the robot was able to perform over 90% of its interaction

operations without technical failure. The average response time of the robot to process a vocal command and respond was approximately 1.8 seconds, indicating efficient speech processing and recognition.

The participants were monitored during their interactions, and their reactions were recorded and analyzed. There was predominantly positive interaction among the majority of users, with 85% of them being comfortable and enjoying interacting with the robot. Some of the participants, particularly children, were more excited and comfortable, while some of the older participants were reluctant initially, which in most instances reduced as the interaction progressed.

Surveys following interaction indicated that 88% of the participants found the robot's gestures to be "natural" or "somewhat natural," and 80% of the participants believed that the robot accurately understood their commands. However, minor issues were experienced in noisy environments because of lower speech recognition accuracy. Participants also provided qualitative feedback that more expressive facial expressions and a greater variety of voice tones could make the robot more human-like. Overall, the experimental results show that humanoid robots can effectively interact with users through human-like interaction if given suitable AI, speech, and vision systems. The positive response among participants indicates great potential for humanoid robots in social, educational, and service settings.













www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404693|

V. CONCLUSION

The human-robot interaction (HRI) and humanoid robot experiment aims at the growing ability of humanoid systems to communicate with humans naturally and meaningfully. Through speech, gestures, and reactive behavior, the robot could perform interactive tasks and learn simple human commands in real time. High user satisfaction and engagement were shown in the findings, which demonstrate that users, especially the younger generation, are ready to interact with humanoid robots in social or service situations.

Although the robot performed better in most of the tests, the research also identified areas for improvement, for example, in noisy conditions when speech recognition was impaired. The participant comments emphasized the need for emotional expression, smoother gestures, and greater adaptability to make humanoid robots more realistic and human-like.

In conclusion, the study confirms that with the right combination of AI, sensors, and human-centric design, humanoid robots can be great friends, assistants, and service providers in human environments. Future innovations in human-robot interaction technologies will continue to enhance their usability, acceptability, and impact in areas such as healthcare, education, and customer service.

REFERENCES

- 1. Breazeal, C. (2003). Toward sociable robots. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 42(3-4), 167-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8890(02)00373-1
- Dautenhahn, K. (2007). Socially intelligent robots: Dimensions of human-robot interaction. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 362(1480), 679– 704.https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.2004
- 3. .Fong, T., Nourbakhsh, I., & Dautenhahn, K. (2003). A survey of socially interactive robots. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 42(3–4), 143–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8890(02)00372-X
- 4. .Goodrich, M. A., & Schultz, A. C. (2008). Human-robot interaction: A survey. Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction, 1(3), 203-275. https://doi.org/10.1561/1100000005
- 5. .SoftBank Robotics. (n.d.). NAO and Pepper robots. Retrieved from https://www.softbankrobotics.com/>.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com