



(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

⊕ www.ijirset.com ⊠ ijirset@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404714|

Protecting Smart-Home IOT Devices from MQTT Attacks: An Empirical Study of ML-Based IDS

Dr.S J R K Padminivalli V, Kalava Sneha, Randhi Nikhita Syam, Gunturu Sairam

Associate Professor, Department of CSE, R.V.R & J.C College of Engineering, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

B. Tech Student, Department of CSE, R.V.R & J.C College of Engineering, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

B. Tech Student, Department of CSE, R.V.R & J.C College of Engineering, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

B. Tech Student, Department of CSE, R.V.R & J.C College of Engineering, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT: Smart homes are gaining popularity globally, largely driven by Internet of Things (IoT) technologies that enable their functionality. However, due to the limited computational power and resources of IoT devices, implementing robust security measures can be challenging. As a result, intrusion detection systems (IDS) have emerged as a practical solution. In this study, we propose an optimized, high-performance model for detecting intrusions in IoT networks based on the Message Queue Telemetry Transport (MQTT) protocol, commonly used in smart home environments. Our approach involves evaluating 22 machine learning (ML) algorithms using an extended two-stage evaluation framework. This framework incorporates multiple aspects to optimize and validate performance, aiming to identify the most effective model. Through empirical analysis, the Generalized Linear Model (GLM) classifier, combined with a random over-sampling technique, achieved the best detection performance, demonstrating 100% accuracy and an f-score of 100%. These results surpass those reported in previous studies. Additionally, we explored the impact of automatic feature engineering techniques on algorithm performance. The findings revealed that applying automatic feature engineering improved performance by up to 38.9% and reduced the time required to classify attacks by up to 67.7%. This highlights the significant role of automatic feature engineering in enhancing both the efficiency and effectiveness of intrusion detection systems in IoT-based smart home networks.

KEYWORDS: Smart homes, Internet of Things (IoT), Intrusion Detection System (IDS), Machine Learning (ML), Message Queue Telemetry Transport (MQTT), Generalized Linear Model (GLM), Random Over-Sampling, Automatic Feature Engineering, Performance Optimization, Attack Classification.

I. INTRODUCTION

Smart homes have gained significant popularity in recent years due to their convenience and ease of control. According to recent statistics, there are currently **360.72 million smart homes worldwide**, and this number is projected to increase by **86.74% by 2027** [1]. Typically, smart home devices are connected to a central hub or "gateway," which allows users to control and monitor their smart home systems either through a unified interface or via dedicated apps for individual devices Smart homes represent a specialized branch of the **Internet of Things (IoT)** that focuses on household appliances and leverages IoT technologies to enable seamless functionality. These IoT-enabled devices include smart thermostats, lighting systems, security cameras, door locks, and kitchen appliances, all designed to enhance convenience, energy efficiency, and safety for homeowners.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies have focused on designing Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) tailored specifically for IoT networks. Machine Learning (ML) algorithms have been widely applied in this domain, yielding promising results. For example, Liu et al. [12] developed an ML model utilizing the Decision Tree (DT) classifier, which achieved an accuracy of 100% when trained and tested alongside three other classifiers. However, the results appear to be biased due to the limited size of the dataset, which contained only 480 records, with a mere 95 records used for testing. Such a small sample size





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404714|

likely contributed to the inflated accuracy metrics. In another study, the authors of [8] introduced a three-layer IDS architecture designed to determine whether an attack occurred, identify its location, and classify the type of attack. Among the classifiers tested, the J48 algorithm demonstrated the best performance, achieving an F-measure of 88.8% for detecting attacks on unseen data. A common trend among researchers using ML for intrusion detection in IoT networks is the reliance on datasets originally generated from traditional IT networks, which typically include conventional attack types. These datasets are then applied in the context of IoT networks. However, IoT network traffic involves protocols that differ significantly from those in traditional IT networks, resulting in distinct feature sets. To address this, the authors in [7] utilized well-known datasets such as CIDDS-001, UNSW-NB15, and NSL-KDD to protect IoT networks from Denial of Service (DoS) attacks, despite the inherent differences in network characteristics.

III. METHODOLOGY

In this study, we propose an enhanced intrusion detection model for MQTT-based IoT networks in smart homes using an extended two-stage approach to select the best classifier and sampling technique, inspired by [22]. The workflow, illustrated in . 1 and . 2, uses the MQTT-IoT-IDS2020 dataset, a recent dataset published in 2020 that captures traffic from a real MQTT-based IoT network, including normal operations and four attack scenarios: aggressive scan, UDP scan, Sparta SSH brute-force, and MQTT brute-force attacks. For our model, we utilized the packet-based features dataset, which contains the most comprehensive set of features. Experiments were conducted on a Windows 10 laptop with an Intel Core i7 processor and 16GB RAM, using tools like Anaconda Navigator, Jupyter Notebook, Python, and RapidMiner Studio, while implementing the GLM algorithm via H2O with specific parameters. Data preprocessing involved removing columns like IP addresses and timestamps to ensure model independence from specific network conurations, replacing missing values, and normalizing numerical columns. The dataset was split into 60% for training and 40% for testing unseen data. To improve model performance, we employed automatic feature engineering, a novel approach in MQTT-related attack studies, which selects or builds new features automatically, reducing feature space and training time while enhancing accuracy. The model was trained with and without this technique to evaluate its impact on performance.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

classified as attacks out of the total actual attack traffic. Equation (3) shows the formula for calculating Recall: $\label{eq:recall} \end{tabular} \label{eq:recall} \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$

The F-measure, or F1-score, is the harmonic mean of Precision and Recall, providing a balance between the two metrics. It is particularly useful when dealing with imbalanced datasets. Equation (4) shows the formula for calculating the F-measure:

\[
F\text{-}measure = 2 \cdot \frac{Precision \cdot Recall} {Precision + Recall}
]

The False Alarm Rate (FAR), also known as the False Positive Rate (FPR), measures the percentage of normal traffic incorrectly classified as attacks. Equation (5) shows the formula for calculating FAR:

 $[FAR = \int frac {FP} {FP + TN}$

To evaluate the efficiency of the models, we also measured the time spent on training and testing in milliseconds. These metrics were computed based on the confusion matrix, which organizes classification outcomes into four categories: True Positives (TP), False Negatives (FN), True Negatives (TN), and False Positives (FP). The confusion matrix serves as the foundation for deriving all performance metrics, ensuring a comprehensive assessment of each classifier's effectiveness. In our study, these evaluation metrics were calculated for each model across both stages to ensure a





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404714|

robust comparison of their performance. Additionally, we employed a 7-fold cross-validation technique to rigorously validate the models, averaging the results from seven iterations to produce reliable and unbiased performance estimates. This approach ensures that the selected model achieves optimal accuracy, precision, recall, and F-measure while minimizing false alarms and computational overhead.

V. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

This study addresses the critical need to secure MQTT-based IoT networks in smart homes, which are vulnerable to cyber-attacks due to the protocol's weak security measures. Using an extended two-stage approach, we compared ML algorithms to identify the best-performing model for intrusion detection, considering factors like automatic feature engineering, hyperparameter tuning, cross-validation, and resampling techniques. In the first stage, we evaluated seven classifiers with and without automatic feature engineering, finding that it significantly improved performance, reducing training and testing times while increasing accuracy by up to 38.9% (e.g., GBT accuracy rose from 71.5% to 99.3%) and precision for NB from 86.5% to 100%. The FLM, NB, and GLM algorithms showed the best results, achieving near-perfect scores across metrics in Table 5. In the second stage, these top classifiers were combined with five resampling techniques to address data imbalance, revealing that GLM with random over-sampling achieved the highest performance, scoring 100% across all evaluation metrics with a low FAR of 0.26% and efficient testing time. However, care was taken to interpret results where high precision and low recall indicated potential over-classification of positive cases, as seen with NB using random over-sampling. Analysis of confusion matrices highlighted that GLM correctly classified most attack types but faced challenges distinguishing MQTT brute-force attacks from legitimate traffic due to overlapping commands. This study demonstrates the effectiveness of GLM-RandomOverSampling for detecting intrusions in MQTT-based networks, achieving optimal performance on the MQTT-IoT-IDS2020 dataset. Additionally, the extracted features from automatic feature engineering can streamline IDS development by focusing on the most relevant traffic attributes. Future work will expand evaluations to additional datasets, incorporate advanced ML/DL algorithms (e.g., CNN, RNN, LightGBM), explore novel resampling and feature engineering methods (e.g., ADASYN, DFS), and apply multiclass classification to identify specific attack types, further enhancing IoT network security. Furthermore, the study's findings underscore the importance of addressing data imbalance and leveraging advanced techniques like automatic feature engineering to improve model accuracy and efficiency. By demonstrating the superiority of GLM with random over-sampling, this research provides a robust framework for developing intrusion detection systems tailored to IoT environments. However, challenges remain in distinguishing certain attack types, such as MQTT brute-force attacks, due to their reliance on legitimate protocol commands like publish and subscribe, which complicates differentiation from normal traffic. To overcome these limitations, future work will focus on integrating more sophisticated algorithms, such as K-nearest neighbor (KNN), light gradient-boosting machine (LightGBM), convolutional neural networks (CNN), and recurrent neural networks (RNN), alongside novel optimization methods like Elephant Herding Optimization (EHO) and Slime Mold Algorithm (SMA). Additionally, adaptive resampling techniques like Adaptive Synthetic (ADASYN) and One-Sided Selection, as well as advanced feature engineering approaches such as Deep Feature Synthesis (DFS) and SULOV with Recursive XGBoost, will be explored to further enhance detection capabilities. Multiclass classification will also be implemented to not only detect but also classify attack types, enabling more granular threat analysis. These advancements aim to create a comprehensive, scalable, and highly accurate IDS capable of addressing the evolving security demands of smart home IoT networks, ultimately safeguarding user privacy and ensuring resilient cybersecurity infrastructure.

VI. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The proposed intrusion detection system (IDS) for MQTT-based IoT networks demonstrates significant advancements in detecting cyber-attacks within smart home environments. However, several limitations highlight opportunities for future improvements. Firstly, the system's reliance on the MQTT-IoT-IDS2020 dataset restricts its ability to generalize across diverse attack types and network configurations, as this dataset primarily focuses on four specific attack scenarios. Future work will incorporate additional datasets with varied attack types, such as zero-day exploits, ransomware, and advanced persistent threats (APTs), to enhance model robustness.Secondly, while automatic feature engineering improved performance, the current approach may overlook latent features that could further refine attack detection. Integrating advanced feature selection techniques like Deep Feature Synthesis (DFS) or Recursive XGBoost could uncover more nuanced patterns in network traffic. Additionally, the system faces challenges in distinguishing certain attacks, such as MQTT brute-force attempts, due to their reliance on legitimate protocol commands like publish





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 4, April 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1404714|

and subscribe. Incorporating contextual metadata, such as device behavior analytics or time-series analysis, could help differentiate malicious activities from normal operations. Another limitation is the absence of real-time integration with IoT network infrastructure, which delays actionable insights. Future enhancements will focus on integrating the IDS with cloud-based platforms, enabling remote monitoring and alert notifications via APIs or dashboards. Similarly, extending the system to support multi-class classification will allow it to identify and categorize attack types, providing granular insights into threat severity and progression. The computational efficiency of the system can also be improved by exploring lightweight deep learning models, such as MobileNet or TinyML, optimized for resource-constrained IoT devices. Furthermore, incorporating adaptive resampling techniques like Adaptive Synthetic (ADASYN) or One-Sided Selection could address data imbalance more effectively than traditional methods. Novel optimization algorithms, such as Elephant Herding Optimization (EHO) or Slime Mold Algorithm (SMA), could also be explored to fine-tune hyperparameters and improve model accuracy.

Finally, the system's deployment in real-world settings necessitates enhanced security measures, including biometric authentication for user verification and blockchain-based mechanisms to ensure tamper-proof logging of detected threats. Multilingual report generation, voice-based feedback, and guided instructions for non-technical users will further increase accessibility. By addressing these limitations and pursuing these future directions, the proposed IDS can evolve into a comprehensive, scalable, and intelligent solution capable of safeguarding modern IoT ecosystems across diverse environments.

REFERENCES

1.B. Thormunds son. (Nov. 2022). Smart Home Security Market Value Worldwide 2018–2026.[Online]. Available: https://www.statista.com/statistics/1056057/worldwide-smart-home-security-market-value/

2. F. Arat and S. Akleylek, "Attack path detection for IIoT enabled cyber physical systems: Revisited," Comput. Secur., vol. 128, May 2023, Art. no. 103174, doi:10.1016/j.cose.2023.103174.

3. F. Arat and S. Akleylek, "A new method for vulnerability and risk assessment of IoT," Comput.Netw.,vol. 237, Dec. 2023, Art. no. 110046, doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2023.110046.

4.A.J.Hintaw,S.Manickam, M. F. Aboalmaaly, and S. Karuppayah, "MQTT vulnerabilities, attack vectors and solutions in the Internet of Things (IoT)," IETE J. Res., vol.69, no. 6, pp. 3368–3397, Aug. 2023.

5.A. Verma and V. Ranga, "Machine learning based intrusion detection systems for IoT applications," Wireless Pers. Commun., vol. 111, no. 4, pp. 2287–2310, Apr. 2020.

6. E.Anthi,L.Williams, M. Slowinska, G. Theodorakopoulos, and P. Burnap, "A supervised intrusion detection system for smart home IoT devices," IEEE Internet Things J., vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 9042–9053, Oct. 2019.

7. I. Vaccari, G. Chiola, M. Aiello, M. Mongelli, and E. Cambiaso, "MQTTset, a new dataset for machine learning techniques on MQTT," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 22, p. 6578, Nov. 2020.

8. S. Ullah, J. Ahmad, M. A. Khan, M. S. Alshehri, W. Boulila, A. Koubaa, S. U. Jan, and M. M. Iqbal Ch, "TNN-IDS: Transformer neural network-based intrusion detection system for MQTT-enabled IoT networks," Comput. Netw., vol. 237, Dec. 2023, Art. no. 110072, doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2023.110072.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com