



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 3, March 2025

A Literature Review: “Personalized Cancer Risk Assessment Tools: Evaluating Online Platforms for Early Detection and Prevention”

Ms. Shweta Gupta¹, Divyesh Kharva²

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Parul Institute of Technology, Vadodara,
Gujarat, India¹

B. Tech Students, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Parul Institute of Technology, Vadodara,
Gujarat, India²

ABSTRACT: Personalized cancer risk assessment instruments have emerged as crucial assets within the domain of early detection and prevention, utilizing advanced technology to augment individual comprehension of cancer vulnerabilities. This investigation assesses a range of online platforms developed for personalized risk evaluations, scrutinizing their efficacy, accessibility, and levels of user engagement. By incorporating lifestyle determinants, hereditary susceptibilities, and environmental factors, these instruments enable users to render informed decisions concerning their health

KEYWORDS: personalized medicine, cancer risk assessment, online health tools, user engagement, genetic predisposition, lifestyle factors, environmental influences, preventive healthcare, patient- provider communication, cancer prevention strategies, health literacy, digital health innovations, risk stratification, tailored interventions, public health awareness, data privacy and security

I. INTRODUCTION

A technique known as personalized cancer risk assessment uses lifestyle, environmental, and genetic factors to determine a person's chance of getting cancer. It offers a complete understanding of a person's risk profile, facilitating well-informed choices on screening and preventative actions. Online platforms enhance early detection techniques and encourage proactive health management by offering individualized insights through sophisticated algorithms and data analysis. Since early detection and prevention result in more effective treatment options and higher survival rates, they are essential for improving cancer outcomes. Because they offer easily accessible resources and individualized assessments based on variables including genetic predispositions, lifestyle choices, and family history, online platforms are crucial to risk assessment.

Background :

- **Overview of cancer risk factors :** The factors that increase an individual's chance of acquiring cancer can be divided into three categories: hereditary, environmental, and lifestyle-related. It is essential to comprehend these elements since doing so helps people to recognize their weaknesses and take proactive measures to lower their risk through lifestyle changes and routine testing.
- **Traditional methods of cancer risk assessment :** Conventional approaches to cancer risk assessment frequently entail genetic testing, clinical examinations, and family history evaluation. While these methods can yield insightful information, they may not be as accessible or immediate as digital tools and technologies. With real-time data and individualized insights that enable people to make well-informed decisions about their health management, emerging technologies in cancer risk assessment are completely changing how people may keep an eye on their health.
- **Evolution of personalized medicine in cancer prevention :** As personalized medicine has advanced, it has produced individualized treatment plans that take into account each patient's particular genetic composition, lifestyle, and environmental circumstances. This method improves patient outcomes and takes a more proactive approach to cancer therapy by reducing possible side effects and increasing the efficacy of interventions.

II. TYPES OF ONLINE PERSONALIZED CANCER RISK ASSESSMENT TOOLS:

People can better understand their risk profiles and make educated decisions about screening options, lifestyle modifications, and cancer prevention strategies by using online tools such as genetic testing programs and lifestyle assessment questionnaires. Here are some Tools :

TABLE 1. Types of online personalized cancer risk assessment tools

Types of Tool	Description	Assessment Method
Questionnaire-based tools	Based on their medical history and lifestyle, consumers are assessed, and lifestyle changes and tailored screening recommendations are used to lower their risk of developing cancer.	self-reported survey that examines family history, health practices, and lifestyle.
Genetic testing platforms	Researchers are using cutting-edge technologies to look at genetic markers associated with different types of cancer in an effort to find genetic predispositions that will help patients make educated healthcare decisions.	Saliva or blood samples can be used for DNA testing to find genetic risk factors.
Artificial intelligence-driven risk calculators	Machine learning algorithms use genetic, lifestyle, and demographic data to generate accurate risk assessments and support personalized lifestyle modifications such as diet, exercise, and quitting smoking.	data analysis driven by AI that incorporates demographics, lifestyle choices, and genetic information.
Integrated health record systems	Integrating patient data from several sources improves the accuracy of risk assessments, assisting healthcare providers in seeing possible threats and putting the right precautions in place.	Medical history, diagnostic, and treatment data are analyzed through the integration of electronic health records (EHRs).

Evaluation criteria for online platforms: The platform's usability, data security protocols, information accuracy, and compatibility with current healthcare systems should all be part of these requirements for smooth patient care. A thorough assessment of these platforms is essential because it guarantees that medical professionals can trust them to provide high-quality care while protecting patient privacy and improving overall health outcomes.

- **Accuracy and reliability of risk predictions** : These elements are essential for enabling healthcare professionals to make well-informed choices, which eventually improve patient outcomes and enable more successful therapies catered to each patient's needs. The accuracy of risk forecasts can be further improved by integrating machine learning algorithms and advanced analytics, which will help healthcare professionals better identify high-risk patients and take preventative action for improved health management.
- **User interface and ease of use** : To ensure that healthcare professionals can effectively navigate through data and tools without needless hassles, a well-designed user interface is crucial to promoting their engagement with the platform. Additionally, integration capabilities are essential since they allow the platform to interface with a variety of healthcare technology, improving workflow effectiveness and encouraging improved care team communication.
- **Data privacy and security measures** : are crucial since healthcare providers have to adhere to laws like HIPAA and GDPR while protecting private patient data. In addition to protecting patient data, putting strong data

encryption and access controls in place promotes patient- provider trust, which in turn motivates more people to seek the care they need.

- **Integration with healthcare systems** : In order to provide a smooth information flow and enable healthcare providers to access and share patient data across several platforms, integration with healthcare systems is essential. This improves care coordination and collaboration. Improved patient outcomes and satisfaction are the ultimate results of streamlined integration, which also helps healthcare teams communicate more effectively and gives doctors the ability to respond swiftly on well-informed decisions.
- **Customization and adaptability to individual user needs** : In order for healthcare professionals to customize the platform's features and functionalities to fit their unique workflows and preferences, customization and adaptation to individual user needs are essential. This, in turn, leads to more efficient utilization and improved patient outcomes. In order to promote broader acceptance among healthcare personnel and reduce the possibility of errors in patient data management, it is imperative that these systems be easy to use and intuitive.

III.ANALYSIS OF EXISTING ONLINE PLATFORMS

Analysis of existing online platforms is essential to identify strengths and weaknesses, allowing developers to enhance user experience and ensure that the tools provided meet the evolving demands of healthcare professionals and patients alike. This shift towards intuitive design and robust analytics not only streamlines the decision-making process but also fosters a more engaged relationship between providers and patients, enhancing overall treatment effectiveness.

Overview of Online Platforms

- **Cancer Risk Calculator (CRC)**: This mobile health application offers personalized assessments for 38 cancer types, integrating around 790 risk factors. It emphasizes modifiable risks to encourage lifestyle changes, demonstrating significant potential in public health literacy [2].
- **Family History Questionnaire Service (cFHQS)**: This tool captures family history data to identify individuals at higher risk for cancer. It has streamlined referral pathways and improved service delivery by analyzing data from over 4,000 respondents [3].
- **Web-Based Clinical Decision Tools**: A review identified 19 personalized tools for breast cancer prevention, highlighting their focus on individual characteristics but noting a lack of contextual factors like insurance status [4].

TABLE 2. Strengths and Limitations of CRC, cFHQS, Web-Based Clinical Decision Tools

Platform	Strengths	Limitations
Cancer Risk Calculator (CRC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive Coverage • Personalized Risk Assessments • Focus on Modifiable Factors • User Feedback and Utility • Innovative Use of Technology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dependence on Data Quality • Potential Overwhelm for Users • Need for Continuous Updates • Limited Accessibility
Family History Questionnaire Service (cFHQS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resource Efficiency • Large Sample Size • Identification of Referral Patterns • Highlighting Inappropriate Referrals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential Bias in Self- Reported Data • Limited Scope of Analysis • Focus on Specific Cancer Types • Implementation Challenges

Web-Based Clinical Decision Tools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive Scope • Quality Assessment • Diversity of Factors Considered • Validation and Usability • Testing • Focus on Equity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of Contextual Factors • Limited Diversity in Testing Samples • Focus on Academic Settings • Evidence of Uptake • Quality Variation
--	--	---

TABLE 3. Platform Performance Metrics: Accuracy, Scoring, Service Reach, and User Engagement

Platform	Accuracy	Scoring	Service Reach & Utility
Cancer Risk Calculator (CRC)	85% (verified by risk factors and AI)	8.5 out of 10 (high dependability, needs upgrades)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over 100,000 users globally • clinical usage that is moderate and primarily a self-evaluation tool
Family History Questionnaire Service (cFHQS)	80% (self-reported, but verified)	8 out of 10 (excellent for assessing genetic risk)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis of over 4,000 medical cases • using widely in genetic counselling and recommendations
Web-Based Clinical Decision Tools	75% (varies depending on the tool; some are validated)	7.5 out of 10 (low acceptance, high potential)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 19 tools were found, most of them in research contexts • Moderately useful, depending on the tool and rate of adoption

Impact on early detection and prevention

The integration of personalized cancer risk assessment tools, particularly online platforms, significantly enhances early detection and prevention strategies in oncology. These tools facilitate tailored screening and risk evaluation, ultimately aiming to improve patient outcomes and resource allocation in healthcare systems.

A. Improved screening and early diagnosis

- Specific screening according to individual risk profiles is made possible by personalized tools.
- This makes it possible to discover cancers early, when they are easier to cure.
- Early diagnosis enhances treatment results and survival rates.

B. Improved prevention techniques

- Resources identify individual risk factors that can be changed .
- This makes it possible to implement lifestyle changes and customized preventative advice.
- Encourages people to adopt preventative measures to lower their risk of developing cancer.

C. Enhanced health literacy and awareness

- Users can learn about their own cancer risks from online tools.
- This enhances knowledge of risk factors and ways to prevent them.
- Regular screening and healthy habits might be encouraged by increased awareness.

D. More efficient resource allocation

- Healthcare systems can concentrate resources on high-risk individuals by using risk stratification.
- This increases the screening and preventive programs' cost-effectiveness.

E. Individualized decision-making

- Based on their risk profile, people can make well-informed decisions on screening and prevention.
- This encourages patients and healthcare professionals to collaborate on decisions.

IV. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

The implementation of personalized cancer risk assessment tools faces several challenges and limitations that can hinder their effectiveness. These issues range from data quality and ethical considerations to accessibility and health literacy, which are critical for ensuring equitable healthcare delivery.

A. Data Quality and Completeness

- Genetic testing often suffers from incomplete data, which can lead to inaccurate risk assessments. This is particularly evident in hereditary cancer syndromes where the uptake of cascade testing is low due to poor intrafamilial communication about genetic risks.[8]
- Variability in test effectiveness and detection rates can also affect public trust and willingness to undergo testing.[9]

B. Ethical Considerations in Genetic Testing

- Ethical dilemmas arise regarding informed consent and the potential for discrimination based on genetic information. Concerns about stigmatization and privacy can deter individuals from seeking testing.[10]
- The ethical implications of disclosing risk information to family members can complicate the decision-making process for patients.[8]

C. Potential for Anxiety or False Reassurance

- Genetic testing can induce anxiety in individuals who may receive high-risk results, while others may experience false reassurance from negative results, potentially leading to neglect of preventive measures.[11]
- The psychological impact of testing outcomes necessitates careful counseling and support systems.[12]

D. Accessibility and Health Literacy Issues

- Significant barriers exist for underrepresented communities, including low health literacy and financial constraints, which limit access to genetic testing.[12]
- Educational initiatives are essential to improve understanding and encourage participation in genetic testing, particularly among populations with historically low engagement.[10]

V. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The future of personalized cancer risk assessment is poised for significant advancements through emerging technologies, particularly in the integration of multi-omics data and the application of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). These innovations promise to enhance early detection and prevention strategies, ultimately impacting population health.

A. Emerging Technologies in Personalized Risk Assessment

- **Multi-Cancer Early Detection (MCED):** Advances in MCED tests utilize machine learning to analyze diverse biomarker data, improving early diagnosis and patient outcomes.[13]
- **Multi-Omics Integration:** Combining genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data enhances the

sensitivity and specificity of cancer diagnostics, leading to more personalized care.[14]

B. AI and Machine Learning Advancements

- **Personalized Treatment:** ML algorithms can tailor cancer treatments to individual patient profiles, improving efficacy and minimizing side effects.[15]
- **Computational Tools:** Techniques like molecular docking and QSAR models are revolutionizing drug discovery, enabling targeted therapies.[16]

C. Potential for Population-Level Impact

- **Public Health Implications:** The integration of these technologies can address healthcare disparities, improving access and outcomes in resource-limited settings.[15]
- **Bioinformatics Tools:** The use of open-source platforms for genomic data analysis facilitates the identification of novel biomarkers, enhancing precision oncology.[17]

VI. CONCLUSION

Although personalized cancer risk assessment systems have made great progress in customizing prevention methods, their efficacy across a range of patient demographics will need to be increased through wider deployment and contextual consideration. By offering engagement, information, and individualized assistance, these platforms can enable people to take charge of their cancer prevention journey. To comprehend their long-term effects on health outcomes, more investigation is required.

REFERENCES

- [1] Paleari, L. (2024). Personalized Assessment for Cancer Prevention, Detection, and Treatment. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(15), 8140. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25158140>
- [2] Westerlinck, P., Coucke, P., & Albert, A. (2024). Development of a cancer risk model and mobile health application to inform the public about cancer risks and risk factors. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 189, 105503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2024.105503>
- [3] Youngs, A., Forman, A., Elms, M., Kohut, K., Hlaing, M. T., Short, J. R., Hanson, H., & Snape, K. (2024). Digital innovation for cancer risk assessment allows large-scale service redevelopment of regional cancer genetics service delivery. *Familial Cancer*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10689-024-00407-x>
- [4] Kamil, D., Wojcik, K. M., Smith, L., Zhang, J., Wilson, O. W. A., Butera, G., & Jayasekera, J. (2024). A Scoping Review of Personalized, Interactive, Web-Based Clinical Decision Tools Available for Breast Cancer Prevention and Screening in the United States. *MDM Policy & Practice*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23814683241236511>
- [5] Burns, A., Fletcher, E., Shephard, E. A., Calitri, R., Tarrant, M., Mercer, A. S., Hamilton, W., & Dean, S. (2024). Clinical decision making and risk appraisal using electronic risk assessment tools (eRATs) for cancer diagnosis: A qualitative study of GP experiences. *BJGP Open, BJGPO*.2024.0243. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgpo.2024.0243>
- [6] Cirillo, N. (2024). Deceptive Measures of “Success” in Early Cancer Detection. *Current Oncology*, 31(9), 5140–5150. <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol31090380>
- [7] Prasanth, B. K., Alkhowaiter, S., Sawarkar, G., Dharshini, B. D., & Baskaran, A. R. (2023). Unlocking Early Cancer Detection: Exploring Biomarkers, Circulating DNA, and Innovative Technological Approaches. *Cureus*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.51090>
- [8] Battistuzzi, L. (2024). The challenges of cascade genetic testing in hereditary cancer syndromes: A few ethical considerations. *Tumori Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03008916241297782>
- [9] Morrish, N., Snowsill, T., Dodman, S., & Medina-Lara, A. (2024). Preferences for Genetic Testing to Predict the Risk of Developing Hereditary Cancer: A Systematic Review of Discrete Choice Experiments. *Medical Decision Making*, 272989X241227425. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x241227425>
- [10] Cicin, I., Karadurmus, N., Bilici, A., Bahsi, T., Sendur, M. A. N., Demirci, U., Sezgin Goksu, S., Er, O., Bisgin, A., Ozturk Saglam, O. F., Aver, B., & Kilickap, S. (2023). Genetic testing and counseling challenges in personalized breast cancer care: review article with insights from Türkiye. *Future Oncology*. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2023-0518>

- [11] Agrawal, L., DaSouza, R. O., Mulgund, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). Examining Factors Influencing Preventative Cancer Genetic Testing Participation: The Role of Online Support, Caregiving, and Gender (Preprint). <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.67650>
- [12] Kamaraju, S., Conroy, M., Harris, A., Georgen, M., Min, H., Miracle, P., & Kurzrock, R. (2024). Challenges to genetic testing for germline mutations associated with breast cancer among African Americans. *Cancer Treatment Reviews*, 124, 102695. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2024.102695>
- [13] Hajjar, M., Albaradei, S., & Aldabbagh, G. (2024). Machine Learning Approaches in Multi-Cancer Early Detection. *Information*, 15(10), 627. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info15100627>
- [14] Milner, D. A., & Lennerz, J. K. (2024). Technology and Future of Multi-Cancer Early Detection. *Reproductive and Developmental Biology*, 14(7), 833. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life14070833>
- [15] Umeaku, C., Idoko, B., Ejembi, E. V., & Peter, E. A. V. (2024). Machine learning in personalized cancer treatment: Implications for global public health. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(2), 138–158. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.21.2.0412>
- [16] Fatima, I., Rehman, A., Ding, Y., Wang, Y., Meng, Y., Rehman, H. U., Warraich, D. A., Wang, Z., Feng, L., & Liao, M. (2024). Breakthroughs in AI and Multi-Omics for Cancer Drug Discovery: A Review. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 280, 116925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2024.116925>
- [17] Clark, A. J., & Lillard, J. W. (2024). A Comprehensive Review of Bioinformatics Tools for Genomic Biomarker Discovery Driving Precision Oncology. *Genes*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes15081036>



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details