



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

*(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)*



**Impact Factor: 8.699**

**Volume 14, Issue 3, March 2025**

# **Enhancing Copyright Frameworks for AI-Generated Art: Ethical Implications and Legal Considerations**

**Sahir Khan Pathan, Mrs. Praptiba Parmar**

U.G. Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

**ABSTRACT:** The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence is reshaping creative industries, leading to the emergence of AI-generated art that challenges traditional ideas of authorship and copyright. This research examines the legal and ethical complexities of AI-generated works, focusing on ownership rights, fair use, and the necessity for reforms in copyright laws. Through an analysis of AI-driven artistic tools, such as generative adversarial networks (GANs) and diffusion models, the study explores the intersection of intellectual property rights and technological advancement. The discussion covers existing legal cases, ethical concerns, and potential solutions to establish a fair system of ownership for AI-generated art. The findings emphasize the challenges in defining authorship, the biases present in AI-created content, and the urgent need for updated legal structures to address these issues.

**KEYWORDS:** AI-Generated Art, Copyright Law, Ethics, Intellectual Property, Generative AI, Legal Frameworks

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Artificial intelligence is revolutionizing creative expression by enabling machines to generate paintings, music, and literature that closely resemble human-created works. As AI-generated content gains popularity, significant concerns arise regarding copyright protection, ownership, and ethical responsibility. Copyright laws were originally developed to safeguard human creators, but AI's role in creative processes complicates these regulations. This paper explores the ethical and legal challenges of AI-generated art and emphasizes the importance of updating intellectual property laws to keep pace with this technological evolution.

## **II. LITERATURE SURVEY**

### **1. AI and Creativity in Art**

AI is now deeply embedded in creative fields, producing artwork that is often indistinguishable from human-made pieces. With advanced tools like DeepDream, DALL-E, and MidJourney, AI-generated art raises complex questions about originality and ownership. While some argue AI should be acknowledged as a co-creator, others believe credit should solely go to the human operator.

### **2. Legal Challenges in AI-Generated Art**

Current copyright laws assign ownership exclusively to human creators. However, AI-generated works exist in a legal gray area, as many jurisdictions do not recognize AI as an independent entity with ownership rights. This section examines legal cases and international frameworks that attempt to address these gaps.

### **3. Security in Healthcare Systems**

The ethical debate around AI-generated art includes concerns about imitating or replicating existing artists' styles without consent. Additionally, biases within AI-generated content, influenced by training datasets, raise questions about authenticity and fairness. The discussion also highlights the implications of AI on artists' livelihoods and the ongoing debate on fair use.

### III. METHODOLOGY

#### 1. Legal and Ethical Framework Analysis

The study reviews existing copyright regulations and ethical concerns related to AI-generated art. It examines international legal agreements, including the Berne Convention, U.S. Copyright Law, and European AI regulations, to identify gaps and potential areas for reform.

#### 2. Case Studies of AI-Generated Art Ownership Disputes

Prominent cases, such as the rejection of AI-created works for copyright registration by the U.S. Copyright Office, are analysed to understand how different legal systems interpret AI authorship.

#### 3. Survey on Public and Artist Perceptions

A survey was conducted among artists, legal professionals, and AI researchers to understand various perspectives on the ethical and legal aspects of AI-generated content. The survey results provide insights into common concerns and recommendations for copyright protection.

#### 4. Comparative Analysis of Global Policies

Different national policies on AI-generated art were examined to identify best practices and propose improvements to current legal frameworks.

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### 1. Legal Gaps in Current Copyright Laws

Findings reveal that most countries do not have clear regulations defining ownership of AI-generated content. Since AI is not legally recognized as a creator, claims to intellectual property remain unresolved.

#### 2. Ethical Concerns in AI-Generated Art

Survey results indicate that 70% of artists expressed concerns about AI mimicking their artistic styles without permission. Additionally, 65% of legal experts agreed that copyright laws should be updated to account for AI's role in content creation.

#### 3. Recommendations for Copyright Reforms

- **Attribution Models:** Proposing a co-authorship framework where both human users and AI systems share rights.
- **AI Disclosure Requirements:** Implementing transparency measures by requiring AI-generated content to be labeled appropriately.
- **Fair Compensation Policies:** Introducing revenue-sharing models to compensate human artists whose work contributes to AI training datasets.

### V. CONCLUSION

The convergence of AI and copyright law presents significant challenges that necessitate legislative reforms and ethical considerations. This research highlights the urgent need for updated intellectual property laws to balance innovation with artistic integrity. While AI-generated art offers new creative possibilities, it also raises concerns about ownership, attribution, and fair compensation. Future studies should investigate the role of blockchain and digital rights management in securing AI-generated works. By addressing these concerns, legal frameworks can ensure a fair and ethical approach to AI-assisted creativity.

### REFERENCES

- 1) Elgammal, A., Liu, B., Elhoseiny, M., & Mazzone, M. (2019). The shape of art history in the eyes of the machine. *Artificial Intelligence Journal*, 34(2), 22-37.
- 2) McCormack, J., Gifford, T., & Hutchings, P. (2020). Autonomy, authenticity, and authorship in AI-generated art. *Journal of AI & Society*, 35(4), 567-580.



- 3) Samuelson, P. (2021). Who owns AI-generated works? Berkeley Technology Law Journal, 36(1), 113-142.
- 4) Boden, M. A., Edmonds, E., & McCormack, J. (2020). The ethical implications of AI in creative arts. Computational Creativity Journal, 12(3), 315-332.



INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  [ijirset@gmail.com](mailto:ijirset@gmail.com)



[www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Scan to save the contact details