



(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

⊕ www.ijirset.com 🖂 ijirset@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405410|

Analysis and Development of Terrain Beetle Robot

Hitesh Suthar¹, K.Raghuram², R Jayanth³, S Arjun⁴, Dr.R.Uday Kumar⁵

B.Tech (MCT) Students, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, India^{1,2,3,4}

Associate Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet,

Hyderabad, India⁵

ABSTRACT: This paper presents the analysis and development of a beetle-inspired terrain robot optimized for navigation across challenging environments. Integrating biomimetic principles with embedded systems, the robot features a lightweight chassis, multi-jointed legs, and a control system based on the ESP32-CAM module for autonomous navigation and obstacle detection. Rigorous testing confirms its effectiveness in scenarios such as search and rescue, environmental monitoring, and exploration of inaccessible regions. The analysis underscores the significance of bio-inspired robotics in achieving resilient, adaptable, and efficient mobility solutions.

KEYWORDS: Beetle-inspired robot, Terrain navigation, Biomimetic robotics, ESP32-CAM

I. INTRODUCTION

In the evolving landscape of mobile robotics, the development of terrain-adaptive systems inspired by biological organisms represents a major stride toward solving real-world challenges in navigation and environmental interaction(1-5). The beetle, a creature known for its rugged survivability, has emerged as an ideal model for robotic inspiration due to its ability to traverse diverse and hostile terrains. Unlike traditional wheeled or tracked robots, legged robots like beetle-inspired platforms can overcome obstacles, recover from instability, and operate in unstructured environments such as rubble, mud, or vegetation-rich zones. With increasing demands for robots in hazardous scenarios—such as search and rescue missions, mine detection, and planetary exploration—the importance of resilient and intelligent mobility systems cannot be overstated (6-9). The motivation behind this project lies in creating a robot that can efficiently adapt to unexpected terrain dynamics, maintain mechanical and operational stability, and gather or transmit environmental data under challenging conditions. This paper builds upon interdisciplinary knowledge from mechanical design, sensor integration, embedded control systems, and biomimicry (10-12). The design methodology reflects a fusion of structural durability, agility, and intelligence all embedded in a modular format for ease of prototyping and scalability (13-15). Ultimately, this work represents not just a machine, but a biologically inspired solution with potential implications for robotics in defence, exploration, agriculture, and environmental science.

II. METHODOLOGY AND COMPONENTS

The development process follows a structured methodology divided into research, simulation, design, prototyping, testing, and refinement phases. Initial research focused on beetle biomechanics—particularly the mechanics behind its self-righting ability, gait cycle, and terrain adaptability. Based on this, CAD modelling was used to develop a hexapedal chassis with dynamic leg joints capable of independent movement.

The control system was anchored by the ATmega328 microcontroller for its balance of computational power and ease of integration with peripheral devices. Complementing this were sensors for terrain feedback and control, such as infrared modules for proximity detection, an inertial measurement unit (IMU) for motion and tilt correction, and analog joystick input for manual override. Figure illustrations (not shown here) include system layout, control flow, and sensor maps.A modular approach was adopted, where each leg unit could be removed, tested, and optimized independently. The actuators—small, high-torque DC motors with worm gear assemblies—provided sufficient power for obstacle traversal without compromising compactness.

Material Choice: Mild steel was used in early builds for its availability and strength. However, later iterations explored aluminium and carbon-reinforced plastics for weight reduction without sacrificing structural resilience.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405410|

The robot design process followed a structured methodology including requirement analysis, mechanical modelling, component selection, and integration of embedded systems.

2.1 Mechanical Structure

- Chassis: Fabricated using mild steel for durability.
- Leg Mechanism: Multi-jointed legs offer flexible movement inspired by insect gait.

2.2 Embedded System

- Microcontroller: ESP32-CAM integrates control and vision, offering wireless video streaming and processing.
- Sensors: IR sensors for obstacle detection, IMU for stability control.

2.3 Actuation and Control

- Motors: DC geared motors power the legs.
- Joystick: Used for manual control.
- **Power Supply**: Rechargeable battery pack ensures long operational endurance.

III. WORKING PRINCIPLE

The robot mimics beetle-like locomotion using multiple articulated limbs driven by coordinated servo signals. The gait pattern—configured as either tripod or wave gait—is adjustable via software and responds to real-time sensor data. Using an embedded PID loop and sensor fusion, the system dynamically compensates for tilt and direction misalignment. The control logic is driven by sensor interrupts, allowing for event-based reactions to environmental stimuli (e.g., obstacle presence or leg slippage). This enhances real-time adaptability, a critical feature for dynamic terrain traversal. Power delivery and thermal regulation strategies were applied, such as limiting peak loads through pulse modulation and incorporating heat sinks on prolonged duty-cycle components.Communication between modules is maintained via UART and I2C protocols, and the main logic controller regulates signal prioritization to avoid command overlap. A simplified flowchart of the working algorithm includes initialization, obstacle sensing, gait adjustment, movement execution, feedback loop, and reset conditions.

IV. DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

The development process began with a multidisciplinary analysis of beetle locomotion, focusing on biomechanics, stability, and gait adaptability. Based on biological studies and robotics references, the robot's body structure was designed to maintain a low centre of gravity with evenly distributed mass over six legs. The leg design underwent iterative simulation using tools such as SolidWorks Motion Study and ANSYS to evaluate torque, stress distribution, and mechanical response to varying terrain inclinations.

Key stages in development included:

- Conceptualization: Biological study of beetle movement and terrain capabilities.
- **Design & Simulation:** CAD modelling, FEA simulation for load analysis, and motion testing.
- Electronics Selection: Choosing microcontrollers, sensors, motors, and power supplies for reliability.
- Control Logic Programming: Development of gait control algorithms using Arduino IDE.
- Prototyping & Testing: 3D printed parts for rapid prototyping, followed by metal fabrication for field testing.

V. ASSEMBLY PROCESS

The assembly process was modular, allowing subsystems to be independently tested and upgraded. Major steps included:

- 1. **Frame Assembly:** Mild steel or aluminium chassis was cut and welded according to the mechanical drawings. Each leg joint was mounted at fixed pivot points.
- 2. **Motor and Gear Integration:** DC motors with appropriate gear ratios were mounted using brackets and torque isolators. Shaft alignment and gear meshing were tested before full integration.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405410|

- 3. Electronics Layout: The ATmega328, motor drivers (L298N), sensors (IR and IMU), and joystick input modules were mounted on an insulated acrylic board.
- 4. Wiring and Calibration: Power and signal lines were soldered with heat-shrink insulation. Initial calibration involved tuning the PID controller and testing response to IR sensor input.
- 5. **Testing:** Bench testing included leg movement checks, speed adjustment, and load bearing. Field testing was conducted on gravel, mud, and inclined ramps.

VI. DESIGN AND SIMULATION

The design of the rough terrain beetle robot was based on bioinspired principles, emulating the structure and locomotion of natural beetles. The primary focus was to achieve a balance between mechanical strength, flexibility, and weight efficiency to ensure performance across various uneven surfaces.

CAD Modelling

The initial mechanical design was created using SolidWorks. The robot's chassis was modelled with provisions for mounting the motors, legs, control boards, and sensors. Each leg was designed with two degrees of freedom to replicate the jointed structure of insect limbs. Critical considerations included:

- Maintaining a low centre of gravity for enhanced stability
- Allowing leg articulation for complex terrain adaptation
- Modular design to facilitate repair and upgrades

The design also accounted for component space allocation, wiring paths, and weight distribution to ensure compactness and balance.

Structural Analysis

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) was conducted using ANSYS to test stress distribution and deformation under load. The following conditions were simulated:

- Static load of 3 kg on central chassis frame
- Impact force on legs during obstacle collision
- Torque effects from motor-driven movement on joints

Results confirmed that mild steel provided adequate strength for terrain loads, but optimization was proposed to reduce frame weight by introducing aluminium or reinforced polymers in later versions.

Kinematic Simulation

Kinematic analysis was performed using MATLAB and Simulink to evaluate leg movement and body stability under various gaits (tripod and wave gait). Leg trajectories were defined using parametric curves, and angular displacement was calculated for each joint.

Outcomes from this simulation guided the servo control logic for smoother transitions and optimized stride length. The gait was also adapted dynamically to terrain type—rocky, inclined, or flat—based on real-time sensor data.

Virtual Terrain Testing

Using Gazebo and ROS (Robot Operating System), a virtual environment was created to test the robot's gait cycle and obstacle negotiation. The terrain included slopes, soft patches, and step obstacles of up to 6 cm.

Performance Metrics Observed:

- Gait cycle time: 0.85–1.2 sec
- Stability index (based on centre of gravity): Above 85% on inclines
- Obstacle clearance: Successful at heights up to 5.5 cm

IJIRSET©2025





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405410|

The simulation results validated the robot's mechanical design and control algorithm under ideal conditions before physical testing.

Working Model



Fig.1.Terrain beetle robot

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extensive testing was conducted to validate the mechanical, electronic, and control systems of the rough terrain beetle robot. The robot was subjected to variable terrain conditions including:

- Loose gravel and mud, to assess slippage and traction
- Inclines up to 20°, to evaluate stability and torque capacity
- Wet grass and soil, to test sensor responsiveness under varied lighting

Performance Observations:

- The robot successfully navigated over uneven surfaces without loss of stability, demonstrating excellent posture control due to the IMU integration.
- Its gait adaptation allowed it to shift between wave gait and tripod gait, depending on sensor input regarding terrain incline.
- Obstacle avoidance was successfully executed using IR sensors at distances between 5–25 cm, with response latency under 400 ms.

Energy and Heat Profile:

- Continuous operation generated moderate heat in motor assemblies. Passive aluminium heatsinks reduced operating temperature by 8–10°C.
- Battery usage showed a uniform discharge curve, with a dip in performance when operating above 20 minutes continuously on an incline.

User Feedback and Limitations:

• Manual joystick control was intuitive, but real-time override during autonomous operation sometimes delayed responses.

IJIRSET©2025





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405410|

• In low-light environments, IR sensor accuracy dropped, leading to false positives or undetected obstacles. These results indicate the robot's readiness for field deployment in tasks such as inspection, search and rescue, and terrain scanning. Limitations are targeted for correction in the future iterations.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The development and implementation of the rough terrain beetle robot highlight the potential of biomimetic design in overcoming the challenges associated with autonomous navigation in unstructured environments. By emulating the natural locomotion patterns of beetles, the robot achieves a unique combination of adaptability, efficiency, and robustness that conventional wheeled or tracked systems often lack. Its mechanical structure, designed for modularity and durability, allows it to maintain stability while navigating over rocks, uneven surfaces, and moderate inclines. At the core of the system is the ATmega328 microcontroller, which effectively manages the inputs from various sensors, processes real-time data, and controls actuators for coordinated motion. The integration of gait control algorithms ensures smooth locomotion, while onboard infrared and inertial sensors facilitate responsive obstacle detection and correction of balance. Together, these components establish a reliable and flexible control architecture that allows for both manual and semi-autonomous operation. Laboratory trials and field simulations have validated the robot's capability to adapt to challenging conditions, demonstrating consistent performance in terms of movement precision, stability, and response to environmental stimuli. Its ability to recover from minor falls or terrain shifts, combined with efficient energy usage and compact design, makes it particularly useful in real-world applications such as disaster response, military reconnaissance, agricultural surveying, and ecological monitoring.

Despite its successes, there is still room for advancement. Enhancing the robot's autonomy through AI-based decisionmaking and machine learning algorithms could dramatically improve its ability to interpret complex environments and self-optimize its navigation strategies. Additional developments in energy management systems, including the integration of solar charging and regenerative power techniques, will help extend operational runtime and reduce dependency on frequent recharging. Moreover, incorporating lightweight composite materials and refining joint mechanisms may further reduce the robot's weight and improve mechanical efficiency.

The rough terrain beetle robot presents a compelling example of how interdisciplinary engineering—spanning mechanical design, embedded systems, and bioinspired robotics—can converge to create smart, adaptable, and scalable robotic solutions. Its successful demonstration marks the beginning of a new class of terrain-capable legged robots with potential applications across industries and environments that demand autonomous resilience and intelligent mobility.

REFERENCES

- 1. U. Saranlı, A. Rizzi, and D. Koditschek, "Model-based dynamic self-righting maneuvers for a hexapedal robot," The International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 23, no. 9, p. 903, 2004.
- 2. C. Prahacs, A. Saunders, M. K. Smith, D. McMordie, and M. Buehler, "Towards legged amphibious mobile robotics,"
- 3. N. Neville, M. Buehler, "Towards Bipedal Running of a Six-Legged Robot." 12th Yale Workshop on Adaptive and Learning Systems, May 2003.
- E. Z. Moore, D. Campbell, F. Grimminger, and M. Buehler, "Reliable stair climbing in the simple hexapod 'RHex'," in Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, vol. 3, 2002, pp. 2222– 2227.
- 5. J. D. Weingarten, D. E. Koditschek, H. Komsuoglu, and C. Massey, "Robotics as the delivery vehicle: A contextualized, social, self-paced, engineering education for life-long learners," in Robotics Science and Systems Workshop on "Research in Robots for Education, 2007.
- 6. V.Vanitha, V.P.Sumathi, J.Cynthia and B.Illakia, "Next Generation Vehicle Diagnostic Systems", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (IJPAM), ISSN: 1311-8080, vol. 116, no. 11, 2017, pp. 251-259.
- 7. N.Suganthi, R.Arun, D.Saranya and N.Vignesh, "Smart Security Surveillance Rover", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (IJPAM), ISSN: 1311-8080, vol. 116, no. 12, 2017, pp. 67
- Er.M.Premkumar "Unmanned Multi-functional Robot using Zigbee Adopter Network for Defense Application" in International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering & Technology (IJARCET) Volume 2, Issue 1, January 2013.

IJIRSET©2025





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405410|

- 9. Ramesh Nayak, Mithuna Shetty, Rakesh Ganapthi, Sushwitha Naik, Varsha Aithal "Performance analysis and terrain classification for a legged robot over rough terrain" in Institute of Integrative Omics and Applied Biotechnology(IIOAB) Volume 7, Issue in 2016.
- Pooventhan K, Achuthaperumal R, Kowshik S, Manoj Balajee C R "Surveillance Robot Using Multi Sensor Network" in International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering Vol. 3, Issue at 2, February 2015.
- 11. Kunal Borker, Rohan Gaikwad2, Ajay singh Rajput "Wireless Controlled Surveillance Robot" in International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Management Studies, Volume 2, Issue2, February 2014.
- 12. [12] Sangbae Kim, Cecilia Laschi, and Barry Trimmer, "Soft robotics: A bioinspired evolution in robotics," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 110, no. 46, pp. 18467–18468, May 2013.
- [13] Jianwei Zhao, Tao Han, Shouzhong Wang, Chengxiang Liu, Jianhua Fang, and Shengyi Liu, "Design and Research of All-Terrain Wheel-Legged Robot," Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 102, pp. 10–22, June 2018.
- [14] Liyana Wijayathunga, Alexander Rassau, and Douglas Chai, "Challenges and Solutions for Autonomous Ground Robot Scene Understanding and Navigation in Unstructured Outdoor Environments," Sensors, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1–25, Apr. 2023.
- [15] M. Raibert, K. Blankespoor, G. Nelson, and R. Playter, "BigDog, the Rough-Terrain Quadruped Robot," in Proceedings of the 17th IFAC World Congress, Seoul, South Korea, 2008, pp. 10822–10825.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com