

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

INVESDIGATIONS ON CONDUCTIVITY AND DISCHARGE PROFILES OF NOVEL (PEO+PEMA) POLYMER BLEND ELECTROLYTE

P. Naveen Kumar^{*}, U. Sasikala, and A.K. Sharma

*Department of Physics, S.V. University, Tirupati-517502, India

Abstract: Sodium ion conducting polymer electrolyte films based on Poly (ethylene oxide) PEO/Poly (ethyl methacrylate) PEMA have been prepared by solution-cast technique in various concentrations. Miscibility studies of the electrolytes were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and the results show that the highest conducting film is the most amorphous. Frequency dependent conductivity (σ_{ac}) values were obtained from complex impedance (cole-cole) plots. It was observed that the magnitude of conductivity increased both with the increase in the salt concentration and the temperature. The charge transport of these electrolytes is mainly due to ions which were confirmed by the transference number experiment. Using this electrolyte, cells were fabricated and their discharge profiles were studied under constant load. Several cell parameters associated with the cells were evaluated and compared with earlier reports.

Keywords: Polymer blend electrolyte, XRD analysis, AC conductivity studies, Transference numbers, Discharge profiles.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, polymer electrolytes have been widely studied due to their applicability for a variety of solid state and electrochemical device applications including batteries, fuel cells, supercapacitors, electrochromic devices and chemical sensors [1]. Polymer electrolytes have many advantages, such as flexibility, ease of processing into thin films of large surface area, electrochemical stability and leak-proof nature [2]. Various approaches such as blending [3], [4], co-polymerization [5], plasticization [6], addition of ceramic fillers [7] etc. have been made to enhance the ionic conductivity of polymer electrolytes [8]. For the present study, we have adopted one of the above techniques, namely, blending because of the ease of preparation and easy control of physical properties within the compositional regime, which thus reduces development costs. The inherent merits of using blend-based polymer electrolytes have been exemplified by several research groups [3], [4] & [9]. In the present study, the effect of doping with sodium perchlorate (NaClO₄) on electrical conduction in (PEO+PEMA) polymer blend electrolyte films has been undertaken. Several experimental techniques such as XRD, electrical, transference numbers and discharge characteristics were performed to characterize these polymer blend electrolytes.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Films (thickness~150 μm) of (PEO+PEMA) blend and NaClO₄ salt complexed (PEO+PEMA) blend were prepared in weight ratios (47.5:47.5:5), (45:45:10), (42.5:42.5:15) and (40:40:20) by solution cast technique using dimethylformamide (DMF) as the solvent. The X-ray diffraction studies of these films were performed by means of a SEIFERT X-ray diffractometer system with Ni-filtered CuKα radiation. The impedance measurements were performed using a complex controlled phase sensitive multimeter (PSM 1700) in the frequency range 1 Hz – 1 MHz and temperature range 303 – 373 K. The total ionic transport number (t_{ion}) was evaluated by means of Wagner's polarization technique [10]. In this technique, freshly prepared polymer electrolyte films were polarized in the configuration Na/polymer electrolyte/C under a DC bias. The resulting current was monitored as a function of time. Electrochemical cells were fabricated with the configuration Na/(PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄)/(I₂+C+electrolyte). The discharge characteristics were monitored under a constant load of 100 kΩ.



ISSN: 2319-8753

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. X-ray diffraction studies

XRD studies were made on pure (PEO+PEMA), NaClO₄ and their complexes (Fig. 1) in order to investigate the complexation and influence of the concentration of NaClO₄ salt. The diffraction peaks of pure PEO was observed at $2\theta = 19.1^{\circ}$, 23.3° and that of PEMA at $2\theta=16.5^{\circ}$. The intensity of these plots decreased in pure (PEO+PEMA) blend. Also while increasing the salt concentration in the polymer blend the intensity of the peaks significantly decreases. The disappearance of NaClO₄ peaks in polymer complexes indicates the complete dissolution of the salt in the polymer matrix. The decrease in the intensities of peaks showed that the addition of salt causes a decrease in degree of crystallinity and simultaneous increase in the amorphosity of the complexed films.



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of (a) Pure PEO (b) Pure PEMA (c) PEO+PEMA (50:50) (d) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (47.5:47.5:5) (e) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (45:45:10) (f) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (42.5:42.5:15) polymer films and (g) NaClO₄ salt

B. AC impedance studies of (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) polymer blend system

1. *Impedance plots* : Complex impedance is given by

where Z' is the real part of impedance, $Z^{"}$, the imaginary part of impedance, D, the dissipation factor (or) loss tangent, ω , the angular frequency and C, the capacitance of the film.

Typical impedance plots (Z' vs Z[°]) for pure (PEO+PEMA) blend and NaClO₄ doped (PEO+PEMA) composite electrolytes in the weight ratios of (47.5:47.5:5), (45:45:10) and (42.5:42.5:15) in the temperature range 303 – 373 K are shown in Fig. 2. Figure shows normal impedance behaviour such as a depressed semicircular portion followed by a spike (residual tail). In the complex impedance representation, the low frequency response appears as an inclined spike and such a spike (tail-like) is characteristic of a blocking double-layer capacitance. The high frequency semicircle corresponds to the bulk response of the films. These results suggest that the migration of ions may occur through the volume of polymer matrix, which can be represented by a resistor. The immobile polymer chains, on the other hand, become polarized in the alternating field and can, therefore, be represented by a capacitor. The ionic



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

migration and bulk polarization are physically in parallel and, therefore, the portion of the semicircle can be observed at high frequencies [11].

The ionic conductivity of (PEO+PEMA) and (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) polymer electrolytes is calculated from the relation

$$\sigma = I/R_b A \tag{3}$$

where I, is the thickness of the film, A, the area of the film and R_{b} , the bulk resistance of the film material which is obtained from the intercept on the real axis at the high frequency end of the Nyquist plot of complex impedance [12].

2. Composition dependence of conductivity : The variation of conductivity (σ), as a function of NaClO₄ salt concentration in (PEO+PEMA) at different temperatures is shown in Fig. 3. From the figure, it is seen that the conductivity of pure (PEO+PEMA) is about 8.30 X 10⁻⁸ Scm⁻¹ at room temperature and increases to 3.22 X 10⁻⁷ Scm⁻¹ for 5 wt% NaClO₄. The increase in conductivity becomes flatter on further addition of NaClO₄ to the polymer upto 15 wt%. The increase in conductivity at lower dopant concentrations of NaClO₄ is attributed to the formation of charge transfer complexes or decrease in the crystallanity [13], while the slow increase at higher dopant concentrations is due to the formation of ionic aggregates. For further increase of the salt concentration (20 wt% of NaF to the polymer) the conductivity decreases. This may be due to the increasing influence of the ion pairs, ion triplets and higher ion aggregations, which reduce the overall mobility and degree of freedom [14]. The conductivity data of pure and complexed (PEO+PEMA) at room temperature are presented in Table 1.



Fig. 2 Impedance (Cole-Cole) plots of (PEO+PEMA) blend and (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) polymer blend films at different temperatures

(4)



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013



Fig. 3 Composition dependence of conductivity of (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) polymer electrolyte system at room temperature

3. *Temperature dependence of conductivity*: The bulk conductivity has been calculated from the impedance polt, and logarithms of conductivity were plotted against the inverse of temperature to observe the temperature dependence of conductivity. Fig. 4 shows the linear dependence of log σ on inverse temperature (1000/T) for pure and complexed films.

As per Arrhenius relation the dependence of conductivity has the form

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp(-E_a/kT) \qquad -----$$

where σ_0 is the pre-exponential factor, E_a , the activation energy and, k, the Boltzmann constant.

The magnitude of ionic conductivity was found to increase with increase in temperature in all compositions of the polymer blend electrolyte system including pure film. This may be explained on the basis of an increase in either ionic mobility or the concentration of carrier ions [15].



Fig. 4 Temperature – dependent conductivity of (a) Pure PEO+PEMA (b) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (47.5:47.5:5) (c) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (45:45:10) (d) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (42.5:42.5:15) (e) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (40:40:20) polymer films

In polymer electrolytes, change of conductivity with temperature is due to segmental motion, which results in an increase in the free volume of the system [16]. When temperature is increased, the vibrational energy of a segment is sufficient to push against the hydrostatic pressure imposed by its neighbouring atoms and create a small amount of space surrounding its own volume in which vibrational motion can occur [15]. Therefore, the free volume around the polymer chain causes the mobility of ions and polymer segments and, hence, the conductivity increases. The increase



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

of temperature causes the increase in conductivity due to the increased free volume and their respective ionic and segmental mobilities. This increase in free volume would facilitate the motion of ionic charges [17].

4. Activation energies : The activation energies were calculated from the slope of the Arrhenius plots and the values are shown in Table 1. The activation energy is a combination of energy of charge carrier creation (defect formation) and the energy of ion migration that can be evaluated by linear fitting of the log σ vs 1000/T plots [18], [19]. Therefore, it can be suggested that the activation energy is due to the energy that is required to provide a conductive condition for the migration of ions. From the table it was found that the activation energy values decreased with increasing concentration of NaClO₄. This may be due to the fact that the addition of small amounts of dopant forms charge transfer complexes in the host lattice [20]. These charge transfer complexes increase the electrical conductivity by providing additional charges in the lattice, resulting in a decrease of activation energy.

Table I Conductivity and activation energies of PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ polymer electrolytes

Polymer electrolyte	Conductivity at 303K (S cm ⁻¹)	Activation energy (eV)
Pure PEO+PEMA	8.30 X 10 ⁻⁸	0.49
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (47.5:47.5:5)	3.22 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.39
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (45:45:10)	4.29 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.37
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (42.5:42.5:15)	6.77 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.33
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (40:40:20)	6.12 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.34

C. Transference number

In Wagner's polarization technique dc current was monitored as a function of time on application of fixed dc voltage across the Na/polymer electrolyte/C. After polarization of the cell with 1.5 V dc, the current versus time plots were obtained and shown in Fig. 5. The transference numbers (t_{ion} and t_{ele}) were calculated from the polarization current versus time plots using the equations

 $t_{ele} = 1 - t_{ion} \qquad \qquad - - - \tag{6}$

where I_i is the initial current and I_f , the final residual current.



Fig. 5 Current vs time plots of (a) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (47.5:47.5:5) (b) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (45:45:10) (c) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (42.5:42.5:15) polymer blend electrolytes

www.ijirset.com



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

The resulting data is given in Table 2. For all the compositions of (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) electrolyte system, the values of ionic transference numbers are in the range 0.89 - 0.91. This suggests that the charge transport in these polymer electrolyte films is predominantly due to ions; only a small fraction of contribution (0.11 - 0.09) comes from the electrons [21].

Fable	Π	Transference numbers data of	PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄) pol	vmer blend electrolyte system
I uoic		Transference numbers data of	1 LO 11 LIM 1 1 (uclo4) po	igner blend electrolyte system

Polymor, bland alactrolyta	Transference numbers	
r orymer blend electroryte	t _{ion}	t _{ele}
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (47.5:47.5:5)	0.89	0.11
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (45:45:10)	0.90	0.10
PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄ (42.5:42.5:15)	0.91	0.09

Furthermore from the table it is clear that the increase of salt concentration has significant effect on the transport properties of the electrolytes. The ion transport number increases with the increase of salt concentration. This is due to the enhancement of ionic concentration (both cationic and anionic) which results in high initial current. The ion transport number reached a high value for 15 wt% of $NaClO_4$ complexed PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ polymer electrolyte system.

D. Discharge profiles

In order to get better performance and stability of cell parameters (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) polymer blend electrolyte film cells were fabricated in the configuration Na (anode)/ (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄)/(I₂+C+electrolyte)(cathode) for various compositions of (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) with ratios (47.5:47.5:5), (45:45:10) and (42.5:42.5:15). Their discharge profiles were studied for a constant load of 100 k Ω at room temperature. Fig. 6 shows the discharge characteristics of these polymer batteries.

From the figure it was found that during discharge, the cell voltage decreases initially, and then remains constant for a particular duration (time of stable performance of the cell) after which voltage declines. The initial sharp decrease in voltage may be due to the activation polarization and/or the formation of thin layer of sodium at electrode-electrolyte interface [22]. The evaluated cell parameters from the discharge characteristic such as OCV, SCC, current density, plateau region time, power density, energy density etc are given in Table 3.



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013



Fig. 6 Discharge characteristics of (a) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (47.5:47.5:5) (b) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (45:45:10) (c) PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄ (42.5:42.5:15) polymer blend electrolytes

|--|

Cell parameters	$\begin{array}{c} Na/(PEO+PEMA+NaCl\\ O_4)\\ (47.5:47.5:5)/\\ I_2+C+electrolyte \end{array}$	Na/(PEO+PEMA+NaClO ₄) (45:45:10)/ I_2 +C+electrolyte	Na/(PEO+PEMA+NaCl O_4) (42.5:42.5:15)/ I_2 +C+electrolyte
Open circuit voltage (V)	2.65	2.71	2.87
Short circuit current (mA)	1.39	1.43	1.59
Effective area of cell (cm ²)	1.33	1.33	1.33
Cell weight (gms)	1.09	1.08	1.08
Time to reach cutoff voltage (h)	97	112	121
Discharge capacity (mA/h)	0.0143	0.0127	0.0131
Power density (W/Kg)	3.38	3.59	4.26
Energy density (Wh/Kg)	327	402	515
Current density (mA /cm ²)	1.05	1.08	1.20
Load (kΩ)	100	100	100

It was observed that all the parameters showed an increasing trend with increasing percentage of $NaClO_4$ in the (PEO+PEMA) polymer blend. From all the compositions (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) (42.5:42.5:15) cell showed better performance and stability. This may be due to the higher ionic conductivity and greater amorphosity of this polymer electrolyte system.



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A new Na⁺ ion conducting (PEO+PEMA) solid polymer blend electrolyte membrane: (PEO+PEMA+NaClO₄) (42.5:42.5:15) has been synthesized. The impedance study showed that the addition of salt to the polymer electrolyte enhanced the ionic conductivity. The transference number measurements indicated that the newly synthesized polymer electrolyte is an ionic conductor and the charge transport is due to ions. These polymer blend electrolytes exhibit better performance, which indicates that such electrolytes are more suitable for fabricating solid-state batteries.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ramesh, Geok Bee Teh, Rong-Fuh Louh, Yong Kong Hou, Pung Yen Sin, Lim Jing Yi, "Preparation and characterization of plasticized high molecular weight PVC-based polymer electrolytes", Indian Academy of Sciences, vol. 35, pp. 87-95, Feb. 2010.
- [2] P. Santhosh , T. Vasudevan, A. Gopalan, and K. P. Lee, "Preparation and properties of new cross-linked polyurethane acrylate electrolytes for lithium batteries", J. Power Sources, vol. 160, pp. 609-620, 2006.
- [3] S. Rajendran, R. Kannan, O. Mahendran, "An electrochemical investigation on PMMA/PVdF blend-based polymer electrolytes", Mater. Lett, vol. 49, pp. 172-179, 2001.
- M. Sivakumar, R. Subadevi, S. Rajendran, H. -C. Wu, N. -L. Wu, "Compositional effect of pvdF- PEMA blend gel polymer electrolytes for [4] Lithium Polymer Batteries", European Polymer Journal, vol. 43, pp. 4466-4473, 2007.
- T. Janaki Rami Reddy, V. B. S. Achari, A. K. Sharma, V. V. R. Narasimha Rao, "Effect of plasticizer on electrical conductivity and cell [5] parameters
 - of (PVC+KBrO₃) polymer", Ionics, vol. 13, pp. 55-59, Feb. 2007.
- [6] W. Wieckzorek, JR. Stevens, "Impedance Spectroscopy and Phase Structure of Polyether-Poly(methyl methacrylate) - LiCF₃SO₃ Blend-Based Electrolytes", J. Phys. Chem. B, vol. 101, pp. 1529-1534, Feb. 1997.
- [7]
- M. Sundar, S. Selladurai, "Effect of fillers on magnesium–poly(ethylene oxide) solid polymer electrolyte", Ionics, vol. 12, pp. 281-286, 2006.
 Dillip K. Pradhan, R. N. P. Choudhary, B. K. Samantaray, "Studies of dielectric and electrical properties of plasticized polymer nanocomposite electrolytes", Materials Chemistry and Physics, vol. 115, pp. 557-561, June 2009. [8]
- [9] G.D. Marco, M. Lanza, M. Pierceccini, Solid State Ionics, vol. 89, pp. 117-125, 1996.
- J.B. Wagner and C. Wagner, "Electrical Conductivity Measurements on Cuprous Halides", J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 26, pp. 1597-1601, 1957. [10]
- Ch.V. Subba Reddy, Xia Han, Quan-Yao Zhu, Li-Qiang Mai and Wen Chen, "Conductivity and discharge characteristics of (PVC +NaClO4) [11] polymer electrolyte systems", European Polymer Journal, vol. 42, pp. 3114-3120, Oct. 2006.
- J.R. Macdonald in: "Impedance Spectroscopy", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987, p.23 [12]
- [13]Ch. V.Subba Reddy, A.K.Sharma and V.V.R.Narasimha Rao, "Electrical and optical properties of polyblend electrolyte", Polymer, vol. 47, pp. 1318, Feb. 2006.
- M. Jaipal Reddy, Peter P. Chu, T. Sreekanth and U.V. Subba Rao, "PEO:KIO3 Polymer electrolyte system- its application to solid state [14] electrochemical cell", Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics, vo. 12, pp. 153-156, March 2011.
- J.A. MacCallum and C.A. Vincent, in: "Polymer Electrolyte Reviews-1", Elsevier, London, 1987. [15]
- S.D. Druger, A. Nitzan and M.A. Ratner, Phys. Review B, vol 31, pp. 3939, 1985. [16]
- C.H. Park, D.W. Kim, J. Prakash and Yang-Kook Sun, "Electrochemical stability and conductivity enhancement of composite polymer [17] electrolytes", Solid State Ionics, vol. 159, pp. 111-119, 2003.
- L. Famiza, A. Madzlan, K. Nasir, A.M.M. Ali and Z.Y. Muhd, J. Power Sources, vol. 159, pp. 1401, 2006. [18]
- [19] M.J. Reddy, T. Sreekanth and U.V.S. Rao, Solid State Ionics, vol. 126, pp. 55, 1999.
- K. Rama Mohan, V.B.S. Achari, V.V.R.N. Rao and A.K. Sharma, "Electrical and optical properties of (PEMA/PVC) polymer blend electrolyte [20] doped with NaClO₄", Polymer Testing, vol. 30, pp. 881-886, 2011.
- [21] G.K.M. Thutupalli and S.G. Tomlin, "The optical properties of thin films of cadmium and zinc selenides and tellurides", J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.,
 - vol 9, pp. 1639, 1976.
- H.J. De Bruin, J. Am. Ceramic. Soc., vol. 14, pp. 20, 1978. [22]