

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

FEATURE SELECTION BY ROUGH – QUICK REDUCT ALGORITHM

K.Anitha¹, Dr.P.Venkatesan²

Asst. Professor, Dept. Of Mathematics, S.A.Engineering College, Chennai, TamilNadu, India²

Deputy Director, ICMR, Chennai, TamilNadu, India¹

Abstract: Feature Selection the process of finding the optimal subset for a given supplied data. In this paper we discuss about basic concepts and applications of Rough Set Theory in Feature Selection. The main advantage of Rough Set Feature Selection is it requires no additional parameters other than the original data. Rough Set is especially useful for domains where data collected are imprecise or incomplete about the domain objects. In this paper Quick-Reduct Algorithm is used to reduce the number of genes from gene expression data.

Keywords: Rough Set , Attribute Reduction, Feature Selection, Quick-Reduct Algorithm.

INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that every 20 months or so the amount of information in the world doubles. At the same time tools used for various knowledge fields must be developed to overcome this growth. Knowledge Management is the only solution for this growth. Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) is the nontrivial process of identifying valid, novel and useful patterns of data. Traditionally data was changed in to knowledge by means of analysis and interpretation. We need a technique that can reduce the dimensionality using the information contained within the dataset and also preserve the meaning of the dataset (Knowledge). Rough Set theory can be used as such a tool to discover data dependencies and reduce the number of attributes using the data alone .

FUNDAMENTALS OF ROUGH SET THEORY

In Rough Set theory, an Information System is defined as a pair, IS = (U, A) where U is finite non-empty set which is called the Universal Set and A- set of Attribute which is also finite and non-empty. Each attribute a ϵ A is associated with a set V_a of its value, called domain of a. We can separate the attribute set into two non-empty disjoint subset C and D where C is the conditional set and D is the decision set.

INDISCERNIBILITY

With any $P \subseteq A$, there is an associated equivalence relation IND(P) which is defined as follows:

$$IND(P) = \{(x, y) \in U^2 / \forall a \in P , a(x) = a(y)\}$$

The partition of U determined by IND(P), denoted by U/IND(P) which is simply the set of equivalence classes generated by IND(P):

U/IND(P) =
$$\bigotimes \{U/IND(a), where \ a \in P\}$$

Where $A \otimes B = \{X \cap Y / X \in A, Y \in B, X \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}$



ISSN: 2319-8753

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

LOWER AND UPPER APPROXIMATION

For any concept $X \subseteq U$ the attribute subset $P \subseteq A$, X could be approximation by the P- Upper and Lower approximation using the knowledge of P. The lower approximation of X is the set of objects of U that are surely in X, where as the upper approximation of X is the set of objects of U that are possibly in X. The upper and Lower approximations are defined as follows

$$P_*(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{P(x) : P(x) \subseteq X\}$$

 $\mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{X}) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{ P(x) : P(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset \}$

The boundary region is defined as:

$$BN_P(X) = P^*(X) - P_*(X)$$

If the boundary region is empty that is upper approximation is equals to lower approximation, concept X is said to be P definable or else X is a Rough Set with respect to P.

POSITIVE REGION

The Positive Region of decision class U/IND(P) with respect to conditional attribute C is denoted by

$$\text{POS}_{c}(D) = \bigcup P_{*}(X)$$

The following diagram defines the diagrammatic representation of Rough Set Theory





(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

REDUCT

In many application problem it is often necessary to maintain a concise form of the information system. One way to implement this is to search a minimal representation of original data set. Reduct is a minimal subset R of initial attribute set C(conditional) such that for a given set of decision attribute D

$$\gamma_{R}(D) = \gamma_{R}(C)$$

In other words, reduct is the minimal set of attributes preserving positive region. There may exists many reducts for an Information System.

CORE

The Core is the set of attributes that are contained by all Reducts which is defined as follows:

$$CORE_D(C) = \bigcap RED_D(C)$$

In other words, CORE is the set of attribute that cannot be removed without changing the positive region.

IMPORTANTS OF FEATURE SELECTION

For many applications manual analysis of data is slow, costly and highly subjective. Indeed, as data volumes grow dramatically, manual data analysis is becoming completely impractical in many domains. This motivates the need for efficient, automated knowledge discovery. The following are the step by step process of KDD

- Selection of Data
- Data Cleaning
- Reduction of Data
- Data Mining
- Data Interpretation.

The high dimensionality of databases can be reduced using suitable techniques, depending on the future KDD process. There are two feature qualities that must be considered by Feature Selection Methods. They are Relenvancy and Redundancy.

A feature is said to be relevant if it is predictive of the decision feature(s); otherwise, it is irrelevant. A feature is considered to be redundant if it is highly correlated with other features. An informative feature is one that is highly correlated with the decision concept(s) but is highly uncorrelated with other features.



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION

The Dimensionality Reduction techniques are classified into two categories Linear and Non-Linear. Linear methods include Principle Component Analysis (PCA) and multi dimensional scaling .These techniques are used to determine Euclidean Structure of a dataset's internal relationship. PCA transforms the original features of a dataset to a (typically) reduced number of uncorrelated ones, termed principal components.

If an algorithm performs FS independently of any learning algorithm then it is a Filter approach. Filters tend to be applicable to most domains as they are not tied to any particular induction algorithm. RELIEF, FOCUS, LVF, SCRAP,EBR are some of the filter based reduction techniques. If the evaluation procedure is tied to the task (e.g., classification) of the learning algorithm, the FS algorithm employs the wrapper approach. This method searches through the feature subset space using the estimated accuracy from an induction algorithm as a measure of subset suitability. LVW (Las vegas wrapper), Genetic Algorithm, SAFS are wrapper based approaches.

QUICK – REDUCT ALGORITHM

Quick Reduct Algorithm is an efficient algorithm for finding reduct. This is widely used is several soft computing implementations using Rough Sets. Quick Reduct algorithm proposed by A.Chouchoulas and Q.Shen.

Quick-Reduct Algorithm attempts to calculate a reduct without exhaustively generating all possible subsets. It starts off with an empty set and adds in turn, one at a time, those attributes that result in the greatest increase in the rough set dependency metric, until this produces its maximum possible value for the dataset.

QUICK REDUCT(C,D)

Input:	C - the set of all conditional features;
	D - the set of decision features

Output: R- the feature subset

- (1) R ← {}
- (2) while $\gamma_{R(D)} \neq \gamma_{C(D)}$
- (3) T ← R
- (4) for each $x \in (C-R)$
- (5) if $\gamma_{R \cup \{x\}}$ (D)> $\gamma_{T(D)}$
- (6) T \leftarrow R \cup {x}
- (7) R ← T
- (8) return R



(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

DATA SET

Datasets: leukemia, breast cancer, lung cancer and prostate cancer which are available in the website: http://datam.i2r.a-star.edu.sg/datasets/krbd/, the gene number and class contained in four datasets are listed in Table 1

	Data Set	Gene	Class
		Number	
1	Leukemia	7129	ALL/AML
2	Prostate Cancer	12600	Tumor/Normal
3	Breast Cancer	24481	Relapse/Non Relapse
4	Lung Cancer	7129	Tumor/Normal

TABLE I SUMMARY OF GENE EXPRESSION

TABLE II Selection by quick-reduct algorithm

Data Set	Filtered	
	Attributes	
Leukemia	#5022	
Prostate Cancer	#12064	
Breast Cancer	#22300	
Lung Cancer	#4920	

CONCLUSION

In this paper Rough Set based Quick-Reduct Algorithm have been used to reduce the gene expression data. It gives the minimal reduct set for the given data set. We can use it for Car data set, Mammogram Image Analysis, Iris-Thyroid information system and so on.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. G. G. Aitken and F. Taroni. *Statistics and the Evaluation of Evidence for Forensic Scientists*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley. 2004.
- [2] H. Almuallim and T. G. Dietterich. Learning with many irrelevant features. In 9th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 547–552. 1991
- [3] J. Atkinson-Abutridy, C. Mellish, and S. Aitken. Combining information extraction with genetic algorithms for text mining. IEEE Intelligent Systems 19(3): 22–30. 2004.
- [4] *Computational Intelligence and Feature Selection: Rough and Fuzzy Approaches*, by Richard Jensen and Qiang Shen Copyright © 2008 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
- [5] K. K. Ang and C. Quek. Stock trading using RSPOP: a novel rough set-based neuro-fuzzy approach. IEEE Trans. Neural Net. 17(5): 1301– 1315. 2006.
- [6] A. A. Bakar, M. N. Sulaiman, M. Othman, and M. H. Selamat. Propositional satisfiability algorithm to find minimal reducts for data mining. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* 79(4): 379–389. 2002.
- [7] J. J. Alpigini, J. F. Peters, J. Skowronek, and N. Zhong, eds. *Rough Sets and Current Trends in Computing. Proceedings. 3rd International Conference*, Malvern, PA, October 14–16, 2002. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2475. Berlin: Springer. 2002
- [8] S. Asharaf and M. N. Murty. An adaptive rough fuzzy single pass algorithm for clustering large data sets. *Pattern Recog.* 36(12): 3015–3018. 2004. (2002) The IEEE website. [Online]. Available: http://www.ieee.org/
- [9] S. Asharaf, S. K. Shevade, and N. M. Murty. Rough support vector clustering. Pattern Recog. 38(10): 1779–1783. 2005.