

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Intuitionistic Fuzzy wgrα-Closed Sets and Intuitionistic Fuzzy wgrα-Continuity

K. Ramesh¹, M. Thirumalaiswamy² and S.Kavunthi³

MPhil Scholar., Department of Mathematics, NGM College, Pollachi-642001, Tamil Nadu, India¹

Asso. Prof., Department of Mathematics, NGM College, Pollachi-642001, Tamil Nadu, India²

Department of Mathematics, Shri Nehru Mahavidyalaya College of Arts and Science, Malumachampatti, Coimbatore-641 050,

Tamil Nadu, India³

Abstract: In this paper, a new class of sets called intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -closed sets is introduced and their properties are studied. Moreover the notions of applications of an intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -closed sets, intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -continuity and intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -irresoluteness are introduced.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-closed sets, IFwgr- $T_{1/2}$ space, IFwgra- $T_{1/2}$ space and intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-continuity.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40, 03F55.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh[12] introduced fuzzy sets and in 1968, Chang[2] introduced fuzzy topology. After the introduction of fuzzy sets and fuzzy topology, several researches were conducted on the generalizations of the notions of fuzzy sets and fuzzy topology. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov[1] as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In 1997, Coker [3] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. In this paper, we introduce the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-closed sets, intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-open sets and intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-continuity and study some of their properties in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, (X, τ) or X denotes the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (briefly IFTS). For a subset A of X, the closure, the interior and the complement of A are denoted by cl(A), int(A) and A^c respectively. We recall some basic definitions that are used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1: [1] Let X be a non-empty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS for short) A in X is an object having the form $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in X\}$ where the functions $\mu_A : X \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_A : X \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\nu_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set A, respectively, and $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1$ for each $x \in X$. Denote by IFS(X), the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X.

Definition 2.2: [1] Let A and B be IFSs of the form A = { $\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X$ } and B = { $\langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X$ }. Then

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \ge \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (ii) A = B if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$,



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

- (iii) $A^{c} = \{ \langle x, v_{A}(x), \mu_{A}(x) \rangle / x \in X \},\$
- $(iv) \qquad A \cap B = \{ \ \langle x, \, \mu_A(x) \land \, \mu_B(x), \, \nu_A(x) \lor \nu_B(x) \rangle / \, x \in X \ \},$
- (v) $A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \lor \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \land \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X \}.$

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ instead of $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$. Also for the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = \langle x, (\mu_A, \mu_B), (\nu_A, \nu_B) \rangle$ instead of $A = \langle x, (A/\mu_A, B/\mu_B), (A/\nu_A, B/\nu_B) \rangle$. The intuitionistic fuzzy sets $0_{-} = \{\langle x, 0, 1 \rangle / x \in X \}$ and $1_{-} = \{\langle x, 1, 0 \rangle / x \in X \}$ are respectively the empty set and the whole set of X.

Definition 2.3: [3] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT for short) on X is a family τ of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $0_{-}, 1_{-} \in \tau$,
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$,
- (iii) $\bigcup G_i \in \tau$ for any family $\{G_i / i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$.

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS for short) and any IFS in τ is known as an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS for short) in X. The complement A^c of an IFOS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS for short) in X.

Definition 2.4: [3] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ be an IFS in X. Then

- (i) $int(A) = \bigcup \{ G / G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \},\$
- (ii) $cl(A) = \bigcap \{ K / K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \},\$
- (iii) $cl(A^c) = (int(A))^c$,
- (iv) $int(A^c) = (cl(A))^c$.

Definition 2.5: [9] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ be an IFS in X. Then the alpha closure of A (α cl(A) for short) and alpha interior of A (α int(A) for short) are defined as

- (i) $\alpha int(A) = \bigcup \{ G / G \text{ is an IF} \alpha OS \text{ in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \},$
- (ii) $\alpha cl(A) = \bigcap \{ K / K \text{ is an IF} \alpha CS \text{ in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}.$

Result 2.6: [9] Let A be an IFS in (X, τ) , then

- (i) $\alpha cl(A) = A \cup cl(int(cl(A))),$
- (ii) $\alpha int(A) = A \cap int(cl(int(A))).$

Definition 2.7: [4] An IFS A = { $\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X$ } in an IFTS (X, τ) is called an

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy semi closed set (IFSCS for short) if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$,
- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy semi open set (IFSOS for short) if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$,
- (iii) intuitionistic fuzzy α -closed set (IF α CS for short) if cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq A,
- (iv) intuitionistic fuzzy α -open set (IF α OS for short) if A \subseteq int(cl(int(A))),
- (v) intuitionistic fuzzy pre-closed set (IFPCS for short) if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$,
- (vi) intuitionistic fuzzy preopen set (IFPOS for short) if $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$,
- (vii) intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed set (IFRCS for short) if cl(int(A)) = A,
- (viii) intuitionistic fuzzy regular open set (IFROS for short) if A = int(cl(A)).

Definition 2.8: [6] An IFS A = {(x, $\mu_A(x)$, $\nu_A(x)$) / x \in X} in an IFTS (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy regular α - open set (IFR α OS for short) if there exist an IFROS U such that U \subseteq A $\subseteq \alpha$ cl(U).

An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy regular α - closed set (IFR α CS for short) if the complement of A is an IFR α OS respectively.





International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Definition 2.9: An IFS A = { $\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X$ } in an IFTS (X, τ) is called an

(i) intuitionistic fuzzy generalized closed set (IFGCS for short) if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOS[11]

(ii) intuitionistic fuzzy α - generalized closed set (IF α GCS for short) if α cl(A) \subseteq U whenever A \subseteq U and U is an IFOS in X.[9]

(iii) intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi closed set (IFGSCS for short) if $scl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOS [10]

(iv) intuitionistic fuzzy regular generalized α -closed set (IFRG α CS for short) if α cl(A) \subseteq U whenever A \subseteq U and U is an IFR α OS in X.[6]

An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized open set (IFGOS for short), intuitionistic fuzzy α -generalized open set (IF α GOS for short), intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi open set (IFGSOS for short) and intuitionistic fuzzy regular generalized α -open set (IFRG α OS for short) if the complement of A is an IFGCS, IF α GCS, IFGSCS and IFRG α CS respectively.

Definition 2.10: [7] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy regular weakly generalized closed set (IFRWGCS for short) if cl(int(A)) \subseteq U whenever A \subseteq U, U is IFROS in X.

An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy regular weakly generalized open set (IFRWGOS for short) in (X, τ) if the complement of A is an IFRWGCS in X.

Definition 2.11: [4] Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces and let $f : X \to Y$ be a function. Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy continuous if the pre image of each intuitionistic fuzzy open set of Y is an intuitionistic fuzzy open set in X.

Definition 2.12: [5] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X,τ) into an IFTS (Y,σ) . Then f is said to be an

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy α -continuous (IF α continuous for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in IF\alpha O(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$,
- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuous (IFP continuous for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in IFPO(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$.

Definition 2.13: [6] Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces and let $f : X \to Y$ be a function. Then f is called an intuitionistic fuzzy regular generalized α -continuous (IFRG α continuous for short) if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFRG α CS in (X, τ) for every IFCS A of (Y, σ) .

Definition 2.14: [8] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X,τ) into an IFTS (Y,σ) . Then f is called an intuitionistic fuzzy regular weakly generalized continuous (IFRWG continuous for short) if f⁻¹(A) is an IFRWGCS in (X, τ) for every IFCS A of (Y, σ) .

III. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY wgra-CLOSED SETS and INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY wgra-OPEN SETS

In this section we introduced intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -closed set, intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -open set and have studied some of its properties.

Definition 3.1: An IFS A in an IFTS (X,τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -closed set (IFWGR α CS for short) if cl(int(A)) \subseteq U whenever A \subseteq U and U is IFR α OS in X. The complement of the IFWGR α CS is an IFWGR α OS in (X,τ) . The family of all IFWGR α CSs of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by IFWGR α CS(X).

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = \langle x, (\mu, \mu), (\nu, \nu) \rangle$ instead of $A = \langle x, (a/\mu_a, b/\mu_b), (a/\nu_a, b/\nu_b) \rangle$ in all the examples used in this paper. Similarly we shall use the notation $B = \langle x, (\mu, \mu), (\nu, \nu) \rangle$ instead of $B = \langle x, (u/\mu_u, \nu/\mu_v), (u/\nu_u, \nu/\nu_v) \rangle$ in the following examples.



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Example 3.2: Let X = {a, b} and G = $\langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ is an IFT on X and the IFS A = $\langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ).

Result 3.3: Every IFROS is an IFRaOS.

Proof: Let A be an IFROS in X. Therefore int(cl(A)) = A. Since $A \subseteq cl(A) = cl(int(cl(A)))$ implies $A \subseteq A \cup cl(int(cl(A))) = acl(A)$. Therefore $A \subseteq A \subseteq acl(A)$. Hence A is an IFRaOS.

Theorem 3.4: Every IFCS in (X, τ) is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in (X, τ) . Let $A \subseteq U$ and U be an IFR α OS in (X, τ) . Since A is an intuitionistic fuzzy closed, cl(A) = A and hence cl(A) \subseteq U. But cl(int(A)) \subseteq cl(A) \subseteq U. Therefore cl(int(A)) \subseteq U. Hence A is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) .

Example 3.5: Let X = {a, b} and G = $\langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ is an IFT on X and the IFS A = $\langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFCS in X.

Theorem 3.6: Every IFRCS in (X, τ) is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IFRCS in (X, τ) . Let $A \subseteq U$ and U be an IFR α OS in (X, τ) . Since A is IFRCS, $cl(int(A)) = A \subseteq U$. This implies $cl(int(A)) \subseteq U$. Hence A is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) .

Example 3.7: In Example 3.2., the IFS A = $\langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFRCS in (X, τ) .

Theorem 3.8: Every IF α CS in (X, τ) is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IF α CS in (X, τ). Let A \subseteq U and U be an IFR α OS in (X, τ). By hypothesis, cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq A. Therefore cl(int((A))) \subseteq cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq A \subseteq U. Therefore cl(int((A))) \subseteq U. Hence A is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ).

Example 3.9: In Example 3.2., the IFS A = $\langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFaCS in (X, τ) .

Theorem 3.10: Every IFPCS in (X, τ) is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IFPCS in (X, τ) . Let $A \subseteq U$ and U be an IFR α OS in (X, τ) . By definition, $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq U$. Therefore $cl(int(A) \subseteq U$. Hence A is an IFWGR α CS in X.

Example 3.11: Let X = {a, b} and G = $\langle x, (0.7, 0.9), (0.3, 0.1) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ is an IFT on X and the IFS A = G is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFPCS in (X, τ).

Theorem 3.12: Every IFRG α CS in (X, τ) is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IFRG α CS in (X, τ). Let A \subseteq U and U be an IFR α OS in (X, τ). By definition, α cl(A) = A \cup cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq U. This implies cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq U and cl(int(A)) \subseteq cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq U. Therefore cl(int(A)) \subseteq U. Henece A is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ).

Example 3.13: In Example 3.2., the IFS A = $\langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFRGaCS in (X, τ) .





International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Theorem 3.14: Every IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) is an IFRWGCS in (X, τ) but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ). Let A \subseteq U and U be an IFROS in (X, τ). Since every IFROS is an IFR α OS and by hypothesis cl(int(A)) \subseteq U. Hence A is an IFRWGCS in (X, τ).

Example 3.15: Let X = {a, b} and G = $\langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ is an IFT on X and the IFS A = $\langle x, (0.4, 0.4), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle$ is an IFRWGCS but not an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ).

Proposition 3.16: IFSCS and IFWGRaCS are independent to each other which can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.17: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0, G, 1, \}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.5, 0.2), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$. Then the IFS A = G is an IFSCS but not an IFWGRaCS in X.

Example 3.18: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0, G, 1, \}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGR α CS but not an IFSCS in X.

Proposition 3.19: IFGSCS and IFWGRaCS are independent to each other which can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.20: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0, G, 1, \}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.5, 0.2), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$. Then the IFS A = G is an IFGSCS but not an IFWGRaCS in X.

Example 3.21: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.7, 0.9), (0.3, 0.1) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.6, 0.7), (0.4, 0.3) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFGSCS in X.

Proposition 3.22: IFGCS and IFWGRαCS are independent to each other which can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.23: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.5, 0.2), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.5, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$ is an IFGCS but not an IFWGRaCS in X.

Example 3.24: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFGCS in X.

Proposition 3.25: IFWGCS and IFWGRaCS are independent to each other which can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.26: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.5, 0.2), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.5, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$ is an IFWGCS but not an IFWGRaCS in X.

Example 3.27: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0, G, 1, \}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.8, 0.9), (0.2, 0.1) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.7, 0.8), (0.3, 0.2) \rangle$ is an IFWGR α CS but not an IFWGCS in X.

Proposition 3.28: IFaGCS and IFWGRaCS are independent to each other which can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.29: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0, G, 1\}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.5, 0.2), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.5, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$ is an IF α GCS but not an IFWGR α CS in X.



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Example 3.30: Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ be an IFT on X, where $G = \langle x, (0.8, 0.9), (0.2, 0.1) \rangle$. Then the IFS $A = \langle x, (0.7, 0.8), (0.3, 0.2) \rangle$ is an IFWGRaCS but not an IFaGCS in X.

The following implications are the relations between intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized regular α -closed set and other existing intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets:



In this diagram by "A \longrightarrow B" we mean A implies B but not conversely and "A \longrightarrow B" means A and B are independent of each other.

Remark 3.31: The union of any two IFWGR α CSs in (X, τ) is not an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) in general as seen from the following example.

Example 3.32: Let X= {a, b} and let τ = {0,, G, 1,} where G = {x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7)}. Then the IFSs A = {x, (0.2, 0.4), (0.8, 0.6)} and B = {x, (0.3, 0.2), (0.7, 0.8)} are IFWGRaCSs in (X, τ) but A \cup B = {x, (0.3, 0.4), (0.7, 0.6)} is not an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ). Let U = {x, (0.3, 0.4), (0.7, 0.6)} be an IFRaOS in (X, τ). Since A \cup B \subseteq U but cl(int(A \cup B)) $\not\subseteq$ U.

Remark 3.33: The intersection of any two IFWGRaCSs in (X, τ) is not an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ) in general as seen from the following example.

Example 3.34: Let X= {a, b} and let $\tau = \{0_{-}, G, 1_{-}\}$ where G = $\langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$. Then the IFSs A = $\langle x, (0.6, 0.8), (0.4, 0.2) \rangle$ and B = $\langle x, (0.9, 0.4), (0.1, 0.6) \rangle$ are IFWGRaCSs in (X, τ) but A \cap B = $\langle x, (0.6, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6) \rangle$ is not an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ). Let U = $\langle x, (0.6, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6) \rangle$ be an IFRaOS in X. Since A \cap B \subseteq U but cl(int(A \cap B)) \nsubseteq U.

Theorem 3.35: Let A be an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ) , then cl(int(A))–A does not contain any non-empty IFRaOS.

Proof: Let F be a non-empty IFR α OS such that F \subseteq cl(int(A))–A. Then F \subseteq X–A \Rightarrow A \subseteq X–F, X–F is an IFR α OS. Since A is an IFWGR α CS, cl(int(A)) \subseteq X–F. Therefore F \subseteq cl(int(A)) \cap X–cl(int(A)), which implies F = φ , which is a contradiction. Hence cl(int(A)) –A does not contain any non-empty IFR α OS.

Theorem 3.36: For an element $x \in X$, then the set $X - \{x\}$ is an IFWGR α CS or IFR α OS.



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Proof: Suppose $X-\{x\}$ is not IFR α OS. Then X is the only IFR α OS containing $X-\{x\} \Rightarrow cl(int(\{X-\{x\}\})) \subseteq X$. Therefore $X-\{x\}$ is an IFWGR α CS.

Theorem 3.37: A is an IFWGR α CS of X such that A \subseteq B \subseteq cl(int(A)), then B is an IFWGR α CS in X.

Proof: If A is an IFWGR α CS of X such that A \subseteq B \subseteq cl(int(A)). Let U be IFR α OS of X such that B \subseteq U, then A \subseteq U. Since A is an IFWGR α CS, cl(int(A)) \subseteq U. Now cl(int(B)) \subseteq cl(int(cl(int(A)))) = cl(int(A)) \subseteq U. Thus B is an IFWGR α CS in X.

Theorem 3.38: If A is an IFWGRαCS and an IFROS, then A is an IFRGCS.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq U$ and U be an IFROS. By hypothesis, $cl(A) \subseteq U$. Therefore A is an IFRGCS.

Theorem 3.39: Let A be an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ), then A is an IFRCS iff cl(int(A)) –A is an IFR α OS.

Proof: Suppose A is an IFRCS in X. Then cl(int(A)) = A and so $cl(int(A)) - A = \varphi$, which is an IFR α OS in X. Conversely, Suppose cl(int(A)) - A is an IFR α OS in X. Then $cl(int(A)) - A = \varphi$. Hence A is an IFRCS in X.

Theorem 3.40: A subset A of a topological space X is an IFWGR α OS iff F \subseteq int(cl(A)), whenever F is an IFR α OS and F \subseteq A.

Proof: Assume A is an IFWGR α OS, A^c is an IFWGR α CS. Let F be an IFR α OS in X contained in A. F^c is an IFR α OS in X containing A^c. Since A^c is an IFWGR α CS, cl(int(A^c) \subseteq F^c. Therefore F \subseteq int(cl(A)). Conversely, let F \subseteq int(cl(A)) whenever F \subseteq A and F is an IFR α OS in X. Let G be an IFR α OS containing A^c then G^c \subseteq int(cl(A)). Thus cl(int(A^c)) \subseteq G \subseteq A^c is an IFWGR α CS \subseteq A is an IFWGR α OS.

Theorem 3.41: If $A \subseteq X$ is an IFWGR α CS, then cl(int(A)) –A is an IFWGR α OS.

Proof: Let A be an IFWGRaCS and F be an IFRaOS. $F \subseteq cl(int(A)) - A$, then $int(cl((cl(int(A)) - A))) = \varphi$. Thus $F \subseteq int(cl((cl(int(A)) - A)))$. Therefore cl(int(A)) - A is an IFWGRaOS.

Theorem 3.42: If $int(cl(A)) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is an IFWGR α OS, then B is an IFWGR α OS.

Proof: Let $int(cl(A)) \subseteq B \subseteq A$. Thus $X-A \subseteq X-B \subseteq cl(int(X-A))$. Since X-A is an IFWGRaCS, by theorem 3.37, X-B is an IFWGRaCS.

IV. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY wgrα-CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS and INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY wgrα-IRRESOLUTE MAPPINGS

Definition 4.1: A mapping f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-continuous (IFWGRa continuous for short) mappings if f⁻¹(V) is an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ) for every IFCS V of (Y, σ) .

Example 4.2: Let X= {a, b}, Y= {u, v} and G₁ = $\langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$, G₂ = $\langle y, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, G_1, 1_{\sim}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\sim}, G_2, 1_{\sim}\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. Then f is an IFWGR α -continuous mapping.

Definition 4.3: A mapping f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy wgra-irresolute (IFWGRa irresolute for short)



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

mappings if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ) for every IFWGRaCS V of (Y, σ) .

Example 4.4: Let X= {a, b}, Y= {u, v} and G₁ = $\langle x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7) \rangle$, G₂ = $\langle y, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, G_1, 1_{\sim}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\sim}, G_2, 1_{\sim}\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. Then f is an IFWGR α - irresolute mapping.

Theorem 4.5:

(i) Every IF continuous mappings is an IFWGRα continuous mappings

(ii) Every IFa continuous mappings is an IFWGRa continuous mappings

(iii) Every IFP continuous mappings is an IFWGRa continuous mappings

(iv) Every IFRGα continuous mappings is an IFWGRα continuous mappings

(v) Every IFWGRα continuous is an IFRWG continuous

Proof: straight forward.

Remark 4.6: Converse of the above need not be true.

Example 4.7: In Example 4.2, f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFWGR α continuous mapping but not an IF continuous mapping. Since $G_2 = \langle y, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$ is an IFOS in Y but f⁻¹(G₂) = $\langle x, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$ is not an IFOS in X.

Example 4.8: In Example 4.2, f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFWGR α continuous mapping but not an IF α continuous mapping. Since $G_2 = \langle y, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$ is an IFOS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_2) = \langle x, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$ is not an IF α OS in X.

Example 4.9: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = \langle x, (0.7, 0.9), (0.3, 0.1) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle y, (0.3, 0.1), (0.7, 0.9) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, G_1, 1_{\sim}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\sim}, G_2, 1_{\sim}\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. Then f is an IFWGR α continuous mapping but not an IFP continuous mapping.

Example 4.10: In Example 4.2, f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFWGR α continuous mapping but not an IFRG α continuous mapping. Since $G_2 = \langle y, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$ is an IFOS in Y but f⁻¹(G₂) = $\langle x, (0.8, 0.7), (0.2, 0.2) \rangle$ is not an IFRG α OS in X.

Example 4.11: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = \langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle y, (0.5, 0.4), (0.4, 0.4) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, G_1, 1_{\sim}\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_{\sim}, G_2, 1_{\sim}\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. Then f is an IFRWG continuous mapping but not an IFWGR α continuous mapping.

Theorem 4.12: Let f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a mapping where f⁻¹(V) is an IFRCS in X for every IFCS in Y. Then f is an IFWGRa continuous mapping but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then $f^{-1}(V)$ is an IFRCS in X. Since every IFRCS is an IFWGR α CS, $f^{-1}(V)$ is an IFWGR α CS in X. Hence f is an IFWGR α continuous mapping.

Example 4.13: In Example 4.2, f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFWGR α continuous mapping but but not a mapping defined in theorem 4.12.

Theorem 4.14: Let f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and g: $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be any two maps. Then (i) g \circ f is IFWGR α continuous, if g is IF continuous and f is IFWGR α continuous



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

(ii) $g \circ f$ is IFWGR α irresolute, if g is IFWGR α irresolute and f is IFWGR α irresolute

(iii) g • f is IFWGRa continuous, if g is IFWGRa continuous and f is IFWGRa irresolute

Proof:

(i) Let V be any IFCS in (Z, η) . Then $g^{-1}(V)$ is an IFCS in (Y, σ) , since g is IF continuous. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$ is an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ) . Hence $g \circ f$ is IFWGRa continuous.

(ii) Let V be an IFWGRaCS in (Z, η). Since g is IFWGRa irresolute, $g^{-1}(V)$ is IFWGRaCS in (Y, σ). As f is IFWGRa irresolute, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$ is an IFWGRaCS in (X, τ). Hence g \circ f is IFWGRa irresolute.

(iii) Let V be an IFCS in (Z, η) . Since g is IFWGR α continuous, $g^{-1}(V)$ is an IFWGR α CS in (Y, σ) . As f is IFWGR α irresolute, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$ is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ) . Hence g of is IFWGR α continuous.

V. APPLICATIONS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY wgra-CLOSED SETS

In this section we have provide some applications of intuitionistic fuzzy wgr α -closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

Definition 5.1: A space (X,τ) is called IFwgra- $T_{1/2}$ space if every IFWGRaCS is an IFaCS.

Definition 5.2: A space (X,τ) is called IFwgr-T_{1/2} space if every IFWGR α CS is an IFCS.

Theorem 5.3: For an IFTS (X, τ) the following conditions are equivalent

- (i) X is IFwgra- $T_{1\backslash 2}$ space.
- (ii) Every singleton of X is either IFR α CS (or) IF α OS.

Proof:

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Let $x \in X$ and assume that $\{x\}$ is not IFR α CS. Then clearly X- $\{x\}$ is not IFR α OS and X- $\{x\}$ is trivially IFWGR α CS. By (i) it is IF α CM and thus $\{x\}$ is IF α OS.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i) let $A \subseteq X$ be an IFWGRaCS. Let $x \in cl(int(A))$. To show $x \in A$. Case (i) the set $\{x\}$ is an IFRaCS. Then if $x \notin A$, then $A \subseteq X$ - $\{x\}$. Since X is IFWGRaCS and X- $\{x\}$ is an IFRaOS, $cl(int(A)) \subseteq X$ - $\{x\}$ and hence $x \notin cl(int(A))$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $x \in A$. Case (ii) the set $\{x\}$ is an IFaOS. Since $x \in cl(int(A))$, then $\{x\} \cap A \neq \phi$ implies $x \in A$. In both the cases $x \in A$. This shows that A is an IFaCS.

Theorem 5.4: Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and (Y, σ) be an IFwgr- $T_{1/2}$ space and f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) f is IFWGR α irresolute.
- (ii) f is IFWGR α continuous.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let U be an IFCS in (Y, σ). Since f is an IFWGR α irresolute, $f^{-1}(U)$ is an IFWGR α CS in (X, τ). Thus f is IFWGR α continuous.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let F be an IFWGRaCS in (Y, σ). Since Y is an IFwgr-T_{1/2} space, F is an IFCS in Y. By hypothesis f¹(F) is an IFWGRaCS in X. Therefore f is an IFWGRa irresolute.

Theorem 5.5:

(i) $IF\alpha O(X, \tau) \subset IFWGR\alpha O(X, \tau)$.

(ii) A space is an IFwgra- $T_{1/2}$ space if and only if IFaO(X, τ) = IFWGRaO(X, τ).



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

Proof: (i) Let A be an IF α OS. Therefore X–A is an IF α CS. Every IF α CS is an IFWGR α CS. Therefore X–A is an IFWGR α CS, which implies A is an IFWGR α OS.

(ii) Let X be an IFwgra-T_{1/2} space. Let $A \in IFWGR\alpha O(X, \tau)$, X – A is an IFWGRaCS implies X–A is IFaCS. Hence $A \in IF\alpha O(X, \tau)$. Therefore IFWGRaO(X, $\tau) \subset IF\alpha O(X, \tau)$ and IFaO(X, $\tau) \subseteq IFWGR\alpha O(X, \tau)$. Which implies IFaO(X, $\tau) = IFWGR\alpha O(X, \tau)$. Conversely, let IFaO(X, $\tau) = IFWGR\alpha O(X, \tau)$. A is IFWGRaCS implies X–A is an IFWGRaOS. By assumption X–A is an IFaOS and hence A is IFaCS.

Theorem 5.6: Let (Y, σ) be an IFwgr- $T_{1/2}$ space, f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and g: $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be two IFWGR α continuous functions, then $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is also an IFWGR α continuous.

Proof: Let V be any IFCS in (Z, η) . Since $g^{-1}(V)$ is IFWGRaCS in (Y, σ) . IFWGRa continuity of f implies that $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$ is an IFWGRaCS. Hence $(g \circ f)$ is an IFWGRa continuous.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov, K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, 20, 87-96, 1986.
- [2] Chang, C. L., Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24, 81-89, 1986.
- [3] Coker, D., An introduction to Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 88, 81-89, 1997.
- [4] Gurcay, H., Coker, D., and Hayder, Es. A., On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 5, 365-378, 1997.
- [5] Joung Kon Jeon, Young Bae Jun and Jin Han Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha continuity and intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuity, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 19, 3091-3101, 2005.
- [6] Jyoti Pandey Bajpai and Thakur, S. S., Intuitionistic Fuzzy rgα continuity, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 6, 2335-2351, 2011.
- [7] Rajarajeswari, P., and Senthil Kumar, L., Regular Weakly Generalized Closed Sets in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, International Journal of Computer Applications Vol.43, No 18., 13-17, 2012.
- [8] Rajarajeswari, P., and Senthil Kumar, L., Regular Weakly Generalized Continuous Mappings in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(5), 1957-1962, 2012.
- [9] Sakthivel, K., Intuitionistic fuzzy Alpha generalized continuous mappings and Intuitionistic fuzzy Alpha generalized irresolute mappings, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 4, 1831-1842, 2010.
- [10] Shanthi, R., and Sakthivel, K., Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi continuous mappings, Advances in Theoretical and Applied Mathematics, 5, 73-82, 2009.
- [11] Thakur, S. S., and Rekha Chaturvedi, Generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topology, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 16(3), 559-572, 2008.
- [12] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8, 338-353, 1965.